

*Paper for the Social and Economic History Seminar, Department of History, Utrecht University; 21 January 2010*

**THIS PAPER IS NOT CITATION WITHOUT THE AUTHOR'S PERMISSION**

WAR AND THE SILENT WOMEN:

THE RETRIEVAL OF CHINESE AND EUROPEAN JEWISH WOMEN'S  
NARRATIVES OF WORLD WAR II

Harriet T. Zurndorfer  
(Leiden University)  
[h.zurndorfer@kpnplanet.nl](mailto:h.zurndorfer@kpnplanet.nl)

Introduction: War, Women and Gender

In contrast to the enormity of interest in the human impact of World War II (WWII, hereafter) upon Europe, the same cannot be said for what has been written about this conflict in the Asia-Pacific region, and in particular for China. China's War of Resistance against Japan lasted from 1937 until 1945, and was the longest confrontation among those countries thrust into WWII, but it has not yet generated the amount of due scholarly attention.<sup>1</sup> Even though total military and civilian deaths in China may well have surpassed twenty million, with perhaps 100 million persons becoming refugees at some point, the story of modern China's War-related sufferings has remained hidden, except for a few known episodes such as the Rape of Nanjing, or the problems of 'comfort women' serving the Japanese imperial army. As one modern China scholar has observed:

“[In comparison to China]...For all other major powers involved in WWII, victorious or defeated, engagement with their war experience was a crucial part of creating postwar identity, whether it was Britain coming to terms with the loss of its empire, France and Germany seeking a new type of European union, or Japan turning from

---

<sup>1</sup> The conflict between China and Japan from 1937 to 1945 is commonly known as the (second) Sino-Japanese War, or China's War of Resistance, or the Anti-Japanese War of Resistance. See Coble 2007 for an introduction to the historiography of this War in the People's Republic of China (PRC).

strong-armed empire to demilitarized economic powerhouse. Of all the major powers, only China failed as a society to engage with the meaning of its anti-Japanese conflict.”<sup>2</sup>

There are many reasons for this phenomenon--including a certain Cold War era consciousness that tended to dramatize the need for heroes and villains--but rather than analyze only the political factors which contribute to East Asia’s ‘unmastered past’,<sup>3</sup> this paper concentrates on one of the least explored subjects in accounts of China and WWII, i.e. women and gender-related issues. Although China historians since the 1990s have expanded their focus from military and political questions to the War’s wider effects on society, literature, the intelligentsia, and every day life,<sup>4</sup> a detailed and comprehensive analysis of the human conflict is still wanting, and the matter of women’s roles and gender differences prominent among the major lacunae.

In general, revisionist approaches to military history have been slow to incorporate the relevance of the gender factor, that is, to fathom the process by which war socializes men and women to develop particular attributes that enable them to enact (or defy) their culturally assigned roles. The usual and most familiar wartime gender contract is constructed by the norms of male protective strength and female vulnerability which are both central to the understanding(s) of masculinity and femininity.<sup>5</sup> In the case of the Sino-Japanese War, the evaluation of female roles is complicated by the special position which the ‘woman warrior’

---

<sup>2</sup> Mitter 2005a:14.

<sup>3</sup> This expression refers to Charles Maier’s 1988 book with the same title that focused on the Holocaust and German identity. East Asian historians also use the expression ‘ruptured history’ to characterize the problems surrounding the writing about WWII in China. See Jager and Mitter 2007. On Cold War historiography and the Sino-Japanese War, see Mitter 2008:190.

<sup>4</sup> See Barrett and Shuyu 2001; Fu 1995; N. Huang 2004 and 2005; Lary and MacKinnon 2001; Smith 2007. Mitter 2005b and Gordon 2006 reflect on the trend in Chinese historiography which situates the War as a vehicle of cultural and political change in its own right.

<sup>5</sup> See Goldstein 2001, and the pioneering studies of Cooke and Woollacott 1993; and Enloe 2000. It is remarkable that one of the world’s best-known military studies, *A History of Warfare* (1993; updated 2004) by John Keegan never once mentions war’s effects on women, or women’s participation in warfare.

has been held in Chinese culture since earliest times,<sup>6</sup> and how Chinese women actively invoked the rich historical record of female martial heroines during the early twentieth century in their political struggles to gain equality with men.<sup>7</sup> Among the most popular female champions with whom they associated themselves were Hua Mulan (ca.618) who dressed up as a man to join the army in place of her ailing father, or the two women generals Liang Hongyu (d.1135) and Yang Miaozen (ca. 1193-1250) who fought the Jurchen and the Mongols, respectively.<sup>8</sup> Twentieth century women linked feats of physical strength to the pursuit of personal freedom. For example, one of the most famous of all modern ‘woman warriors’ Xie Bingying (1906-2000) who did military service in the 1926 Northern Expedition (meant to recapture territory occupied by warlords), and later during the Sino-Japanese War, wrote: “...I fought the warlords partly to gain my country’s freedom, partly to gain my own...to escape the feudal traditions of having my feet bound and my marriage arranged.”<sup>9</sup>

Xie’s words here reflect the early twentieth century Chinese women’s feminist agenda that demanded the freedom of choice in marriage, the right to divorce, removal of polygamy, an end to the sale of women for wifedom, and the prevention of child marriage.<sup>10</sup> But by the time the War broke out, a well-organized propaganda crusade, which penetrated all levels of Chinese society, transformed the afore-mentioned historical heroines into female resistance symbols, and derided the feminist program as unpatriotic because it put individual rights above collective rights. Both the Nationalist Guomindang (GMD) and Chinese Communist Party (CCP) forces endorsed a set of priorities that “submerged [women’s]

---

<sup>6</sup> Western audiences were introduced to this trope thanks to the popularity of Maxine Hong Kingston’s 1976 book. For an updated evaluation of Kingston’s work, see Lan Feng 2003.

<sup>7</sup> On the history of the literary topos ‘heroic woman’, see Li Wai-yee 1999 which traces the genealogy of the female-knight errant to the Tang dynasty (618-907), and situates this figure’s significance for writing about heroic women during the late Ming—early Qing transition (1630-1650). See also Judge 2008:152-62.

<sup>8</sup> See Wu Pei-yi 2002; Mann 2000:854. For other examples of well-known women who committed themselves to military action, see Ono 1989:1-21; 49-53.

<sup>9</sup> Xie Bingying 2001:vii.

<sup>10</sup> Pan Yihong 1997.

personal choices and instead, cultivated a spirit of self-sacrifice.”<sup>11</sup> Although literary writers, as well as journalists, by the late 1930s were more likely to express the complexities of women actively participating in current events, and to consider women’s lives in wartime beyond the lens of nationalism,<sup>12</sup> one may also argue that the idea of female heroism -- with its accent on the qualities of courage, boldness, and pluck in the face of enemy forces -- continued to dominate many Chinese woman-authored autobiographical writings and historical biographies of twentieth century women. Certainly, it is this ‘essentialist’ theme that penetrates accounts either by, or about, modern woman generals, and not unexpectedly, female participants in the Long March.<sup>13</sup>

Aside from ‘female heroism’, the other major theme that overshadows writing about Chinese women and WWII is their role as ‘victims’, and in particular, their institutionalization by the Japanese Imperial Army as sexual laborers. Many Chinese women, like groups of other women in other regions occupied by the enemy, were made to become ‘comfort women’ and forced to engage in sex with Japanese soldiers. It was not unknown that these persons to have suffered coerced copulation with as many as thirty men per day.<sup>14</sup> Recent studies of ‘comfort women’ in East Asia have demonstrated their deceitful recruitment, their primitive and dangerous living conditions (often near the front line), and not least, their profound emotional trauma both during and after the war years when many of them

---

<sup>11</sup> Hung 1994:76. Hung has described the development of the ‘woman warrior’ in ‘spoken drama’ as a method to galvanize people to fight against the invading Japanese.

<sup>12</sup> Dooling 2004:11-22, and in particular her references to the ‘reportage’ writing of Peng Zigang 彭子岡 and Ji Hong 季洪 in the magazine *Funü shenghuo* 婦女生活; and in the case of Shanghai woman writers, including Eileen Chang in the 1940s, see Huang 2005.

<sup>13</sup> On women generals, see Cui Xianghua 1995; and Wang Zijin 1998.

The Long March refers to the CCP-led journey 1934-35 when the Communists tried to escape the GMD suppression campaign. See Phui 2007. Lee and Wiles 1999 focus on the ‘victimization’ of three female individual members of the March. Cf. H.Young 2001 and 2005, as well as Spakowski 2005 for more positive analyses of women’s experiences in this event.

<sup>14</sup> Hicks 1995:11, 18.

discovered they were infertile due to multiple poor-quality abortions.<sup>15</sup> But even those women who were not subject to such extraordinary brutality, suffered the ‘scars of war’: the birth of an unwanted child, the fear of abandonment, the sheer sense of helplessness, the lack of income and the onset of poverty, as well as the terrors of aerial attack, bombardment, and fire.<sup>16</sup> Such miseries and deprivation are also integral to China’s wartime history, but have yet to be analyzed properly. Moreover, in much postwar historical writing about this era, understanding the Chinese experience of Japanese imperialism has been supplanted by the constraint of demonstrating Chinese nationalist resistance to it.<sup>17</sup>

Important exceptions to this lacunae in the social history of the War are two groundbreaking publications based on the oral testimonies of ordinary women. The first is by You Jianming (Yu Chien-ming 游鑑明) and her colleagues at the Academia Sinica’s Institute of Modern History (Taipei) who interviewed dozens of Taiwan-based women and conveyed the experiences of ten of these persons in a series of rich and detailed portraits about their lives before, during, and after the War.<sup>18</sup> What emerges in their book is a picture of all the multifarious dimensions of war and its effects on the personal lives of women: the discovery of a husband’s bigamy and children from earlier relationships, choosing between starvation or complicity with the enemy, the psychological pain of long-term separations from immediate family members, and not least, the postwar turmoil of life as a refugee in Taiwan, are just some of the tragedies that these women recount in their life stories. The second book is Li Danke’s 2010 study, *Echoes of Chongqing: Women in Wartime China* which is also based on recorded oral histories.<sup>19</sup> Over a period of eight years Li interviewed some fifty women living in Chongqing, a city in Sichuan province, which had been the capital of

---

<sup>15</sup> It is beyond the limits of this paper to discuss the historical problems of writing about the public memory of the *jūgan ianfu* 從軍慰安婦 (military comfort women). For further info, see Hicks 1995; Sand 1999; Stetz and Oh 2001; Tanaka 2002; Ueno 1999; Yoshimi 1992, and the many publications by Su Zhiliang 蘇智良.

<sup>16</sup> Lary and MacKinnon 2001:9.

<sup>17</sup> Smith 2004:4.

<sup>18</sup> See You Jianming et.al. 2004. On Dr. You’s approach and her interview procedures, see You Jianming 2002.

<sup>19</sup> Li Danke 2010; see also Li Danke 2009.

nationalist (GMD) China, and a site of both anti-Japanese nationalism and an extensive women's wartime movement focused on political reform. Her study narrates the stories of twenty of these women who vary in class, political affinity, and profession, and communicates what meaning the War had for each of the subjects then and in the postwar era.<sup>20</sup>

From a historical perspective, the personal accounts in these two publications contain those elements which scholars regarding war in other times and other spaces, consider relevant to the retrieval of the past: testimony, narrative, memory and trauma. None of these factors is unfamiliar to Chinese history already during the late imperial era. As a recent issue of the journal publication *History and Memory* suggests, there is a "tremendous variety of Chinese-memory texts representing centuries of cultural history....in which trauma has been particularly salient."<sup>21</sup> 'Macro events' such as the fall of the Ming, the 1911 Revolution, and the civil wars of the 1930s and 1940s, are relevant to any global analysis of these concepts. Also recently, another academic journal, *positions: East Asian cultures critique*, featured a special theme issue on 'war capital trauma' in Asia, including several essays that specify the relevance of these more recent struggles to understanding gender and violence there.<sup>22</sup> The *positions* article by Huynah Yang on Japanese military sexual slavery survivors underlines the need once again for comprehending war's dimensions from the viewpoint of gender: how war affects the relative positions of men and women during military conflict. In sum, there is a dearth of research that connects the Sino-Japanese War and gender matters, and where there has been interest in men's and women's experiences of this conflict, too often female roles are usually reduced to either 'heroines' or 'victims', or preconceived as simply 'mothers' or 'caregivers'.

---

<sup>20</sup> Interestingly, Li (who lives and teaches in the USA) does not acknowledge any of the publications by the Taiwan-based scholars.

<sup>21</sup> Struve 2004:5-6; see also Owen 1986.

<sup>22</sup> See Barlow 2008; and also the essays by Ivy, Surin, and Yang in that *positions* issue.

Thus, given this relative silence in the China historical literature on women in the Sino-Japanese confrontation, it does not seem unwarranted to consider how scholars have tackled gender issues with regard to European Jewish women during the Second World War for which there is now substantial published research. While this paper is not the first endeavor to use the comparison of Chinese and Jewish moments in history – the works of the late Joseph Levenson and more recently, Vera Schwarcz are exemplary here<sup>23</sup> – it is the first effort, I believe, to contemplate how the historical writing on European women and the Holocaust may have relevance for the epistemology of analyzing gender and war in China. Our goal here is to examine what historians and others have written about Jewish women during and after the Holocaust, and to consider how this information may allow us to consider a comparison/contrast with Chinese women's experiences during and after the Sino-Japanese War 1937-45. Thus, we do not seek here to document the horrific atrocities that these women in China and Europe faced, but rather to show how scholars utilizing the gender concept in their studies have added meaning to the narratives of women's war experiences.

### The Historiography of European Jewish Women in Holocaust Studies

Despite the wealth of written materials, recorded oral testimonies, photographic collections, and cinema presentations about the Holocaust,<sup>24</sup> there has been a certain invisibility of women and gender matters in all these sources of information until relatively recently. It was only in 1983 when the first academic conference focused on the question of

---

<sup>23</sup> See Levenson 1968; and Schwarcz 1992, 1998, 2008. There is also now the growing literature and historical study about European Jewish refugees and their lives in wartime China. See Eber 2008.

<sup>24</sup> Some scholars prefer the Hebrew word 'Shoah' meaning 'catastrophe' or 'calamity' to the English expression 'Holocaust'. The word Holocaust derives from the Greek term *Holokoston*, literally 'burnt offering' which implies a Christian notion of Jewish sacrifice or calvary. I use 'Holocaust' here because of its familiarity to a non-Jewish readership.

gender and the Holocaust took place.<sup>25</sup> What became manifest during that meeting, and subsequent publications and other academic colloquia was an understanding that what happened to Jewish women during and after WWII was not marginal to the mainstream of Holocaust study, and that given the higher numbers of male survivors than female, the gender factor was crucial to understanding the complexity of the Holocaust.<sup>26</sup> Joan Ringelheim in an article published in 1985 in the journal *Signs* argued that the Holocaust's killing operations, especially where the Jews were concerned, made explicit distinctions between men and women. Pregnant women, for example, were usually sent straight to the gas chambers. Probing a framework to initiate gender-orientated Holocaust studies, Ringelheim has underlined women's physical vulnerability and the silence that has surrounded the topic. The reluctance of women survivors to discuss sexual abuse and the sensitivity of researchers to avoid the topic have resulted in "a line divid[ing] what is considered peculiar or specific to women from what has been designated as the proper collective memory of, or narrative about, the Holocaust."<sup>27</sup>

In general, in historical writing about the Holocaust, the matter of gender has encountered a certain resistance. One will not find gender issues particular to men and women raised in the better known publications, such as those by Saul Friedlander (1992b) or Daniel Goldhagen (1997). Some historians believe that reading the gender factor into the Holocaust 'distorts' the ultimate sense of loss that affected both men and women. In other words, there is concern that the interest in gender distracts from the unity of the Nazi assault on all Jews and "make(s) the Holocaust secondary to feminism."<sup>28</sup> For example, Lawrence Langer, a

---

<sup>25</sup> For the proceedings, see Katz and Ringelheim 1983. Interestingly, around the same time, the first academic workshop on gender politics and wartime discourses of the First and Second World Wars in Europe also occurred. See Higonnet et. al. 1987.

<sup>26</sup> For a numerical analysis of male-female deaths in the Lodz Ghetto (Poland), see Ringelheim 1993:407-18. On page 395 she offers another example: of the 1,128 Berlin Jews transported to Auschwitz on March 5, 1943, 389 men and 96 women were selected for work, while 151 men and 492 women and children were murdered; of the 690 Jews who arrived on March 7, 30 men and 417 women and children were killed immediately.

<sup>27</sup> Ringelheim 1998:344. See also Ringelheim 1984, 1985.

<sup>28</sup> Ofer and Weitzman 1998:1.



well-regarded authority on Holocaust testimonies, has questioned whether gender should really be an issue that is mentioned at all (in Holocaust study), and suggests that its application may serve to hide the truth.<sup>29</sup> Langer's views are shared by others,<sup>30</sup> including the noted feminist scholar Cynthia Ozick who challenged Ringelheim's appeal for more attention to the experiences of Jewish women in the Holocaust.<sup>31</sup> But in response to Ozick, Ringelheim reiterated the need to examine those aspects of the Holocaust, such as rape and sexual assault, which are particular to women. That women may have traded their sexuality for survival is a matter so confrontational that many writers have preferred 'to neutralize', rather than attempt to understand, the extent of women's particular victimization.<sup>32</sup> Critics of the focus on gender in Holocaust studies fear that such an approach will "eclipse the Holocaust, subverting its irredeemable horror to a more domesticated *histoire des femmes*."<sup>33</sup>

Nevertheless, despite the neglect of gender in most mainstream historical literature on the Holocaust, and the reluctance to acknowledge its importance (even after the 1980s), there is in fact a vast legacy of memoirs and autobiographies authored by female Holocaust survivors which accentuates this concept's relevance. Already during the War, the Polish historian Emmanuel Ringelblum began creating a specific archive of women's lives in his investigative project the *Oneg Shabbat* (Sabbath celebration) which he compiled to document life in the Warsaw ghetto from 1939 to 1942.<sup>34</sup> He commissioned Cecilya Slepak, a former journalist to oversee the women's project and to interview a wide range of ghetto women

---

<sup>29</sup> Langer 1998:362.

<sup>30</sup> See Lentin 2000a:691 who comments about the accusations by the noted Jewish writer and scholar Gabriel Schoenfeld (1998) on Ringelheim, Ofer and Weitzman, among others.

<sup>31</sup> Ringelheim 1998:348-9.

<sup>32</sup> Ringelheim 1997:25. Among female survivors living in Israel, researchers and others (including film makers) have often encountered these women's self-imposed silence. See Lentin 2000b.

<sup>33</sup> Horowitz 2000:178. Bos 2003:47 n48 raises the issue of how the gender concept, a non-existent category in 1940s Europe, could have played a role in human consciousness. But even then, as she posits, ideas about the behavior appropriate for a person of either sex were a part of people's worldview, as they are now.

<sup>34</sup> Ringelblum's archive was known to consist of more than 100 volumes of diaries, reports, essays, and photographs, only part of which survived the destruction of the Warsaw ghetto. The remaining portions which were published in Yiddish in 1948, as *Blater Far Gesichte*, were translated into English by Jacob Sloan and published as *Notes from the Warsaw Ghetto: The Journal of Emmanuel Ringelblum* in 1958, and re-printed in 1974. See also the recent study by Kassow 2007.

according to a systematic series of questions.<sup>35</sup> What she and her colleagues found was that the women there had departed from their traditional tasks. In the ghetto women began to assume the role of husbands, protecting and providing, venturing into public places, and even facing soldiers while their husbands remained hidden in domestic spaces. Also published in the immediate post-WWII period was a series of personal memoirs of women who had survived.<sup>36</sup> Documenting life in ghettos and concentration camps, the authors in many instances compiled these writings as part of their own catharsis. Judith Baumel's examination of these records has analyzed what they share in common, and underlines their authenticity, the absence of moralizing, the focus on women's culture, and the role of female self-help and mutual assistance to the author's survival.<sup>37</sup>

Baumel also observes that in contrast to this first wave of publications, there were far less such memoirs printed in the 1950s and 1960s. She attributes this phenomenon and a general lack of systematic research on the Holocaust during these decades to the historical and cultural climate of the period which was focused more on the evils of Nazism and fascism than on the personal traumas of their victims. The survivors themselves, who were under the pressure to assimilate into the culture of their adopted countries, were also less likely to seek a public forum to bear witness.<sup>38</sup> Reading the Holocaust-related historical literature produced in the United States around that time, the historian Peter Novick suggests that there was a kind of "family-of-man" ethos whereby the Jewish survivors were expected to homogenize with the rest of America. Moreover, Novick writes that the seeming suppression of concern with the Holocaust was not unrelated to the Cold War political

---

<sup>35</sup> On Slepak, see Ofer 1998:143-67.

<sup>36</sup> Baumel 1996a:115-6. There were also accounts written by men, but those by women outnumber them. On the other hand, the best-known personal accounts of the Holocaust tend to be by men, from survivors such as Primo Levi and Elie Wiesel to scholars such as Yehuda Bauer and Raul Hilberg.

<sup>37</sup> Baumel 1996a:117. These diaries include those by Kitty Hart (1946; republished in 1961), and Mary Berg (1945). See also the discussion by Lixl-Purcell 1994 about the significance of women's memoirs.

<sup>38</sup> Baumel 1996a:119-20.

climate when Germany became an important new ally against the communist Soviet Union.<sup>39</sup> Other historians have also noted how the 1950s and 1960s were a time of “repression” with regard to historical writing about the Holocaust.<sup>40</sup> For example, in postwar France, as Henry Rousso has shown, Charles de Gaulle and other public officials ‘smoke-screened’ any information about the complicity of many French people with the atrocities committed against the Jews during the War; instead, they fashioned a legend about the shared purpose France during the Nazi occupation as a site of remarkable resistance, a phenomenon which Rousso has called ‘the Vichy syndrome’.<sup>41</sup> The general nonchalance and disinterest toward the history of the Nazi regime’s treatment of the Jewish people during the first twenty years or so after the ending of WWII made information about the Holocaust difficult to acquire. When the well-known author Raul Hilberg tried to publish his ground-breaking scholarly work *The Destruction of the European Jews*, he met one rejection after another until 1961 when a survivor family offered to subsidize its publication.<sup>42</sup> It was only in the 1970s that the Holocaust was no longer a subject of interest to Jews alone, or Jews and Germans, but an integral part of modern history.<sup>43</sup>

The next cohort of women-authored publications emerged in the 1970s when suddenly, there was according to Baumel, a ‘rush’ to publish Holocaust memoirs. No longer the marginal objects of history, the female authors of these accounts were now welcome in both academic and commercial publishing circles. Baumel attributes this greater appreciation of women and family survivor stories to two factors. First, a broader shift in historical writing: by the 1970s, a new kind of social history which focused on marginalized groups (as opposed

---

<sup>39</sup> Novick 1999:85-102; 114.

<sup>40</sup> Confino 1997:1393.

<sup>41</sup> Rousso 1987.

<sup>42</sup> Cole 1999:2. Confino 2009: 205-06 remarks that Hilberg’s book was rejected by Columbia University Press, Yad Vashem, Princeton University Press, and the University of Oklahoma Press. “The 1959 rejection letter from Princeton University Press noted that the manuscript did not “constitute a sufficiently important contribution” and “readily available” books on the subject existed “in sufficient detail.” This letter was written by Hannah Arendt.

<sup>43</sup> Marrus 1994:115.

to statesmen, generals, diplomats, and elite institutions) began to dominate both teaching and research. Those who had once been condemned to silence—women, children, criminals, and the “lower orders” in general—now held center stage.<sup>44</sup> Second, the development of women’s studies, also connected with the popular social movements in the late 1960s and their concern with equality in relation to sexuality and gender, prompted more scholarly interest in women and the Holocaust.<sup>45</sup> Thus, it may be argued that women’s earlier marginalization or gendered silences in Holocaust mainstream history were part of the general repression of women’s experiences and roles in the past, rather than something specific to Holocaust studies which itself did not attract attention until the 1970s. But once interest in women and gender issues did become familiar, more and more texts also began to appear that validated the importance of the gender factor in historical writing about the Holocaust. Key texts included those compiled by Vera Laska, Renate Bridenthal et.al., and Marlene Heinemann.<sup>46</sup> Such changing attitudes also helped foster awareness of the role that German women played in communicating Nazi values among the populace. For example, Claudia Koonz examined the ways in German women were active in ostracizing and excluding those persons defined by the Nazis as non-Aryan in their day-to-day dealings with people.<sup>47</sup>

One may well ask where does the diary of the Holocaust’s most famous casualty, Anne Frank, fit into this historiography? Anne’s diary was first published in Dutch in 1947 with the title *Het Achterhuis* (The Attic/Secret Annex), but in an edited version by her father Otto.<sup>48</sup> He excluded her own references to her Jewishness, her sexual self-discovery, her

---

<sup>44</sup> Wilkinson 1996:82.

<sup>45</sup> Baumel 1996a: 123-4.

<sup>46</sup> Laska 1983; Bridenthal et.al. 1984; Heinemann 1986.

<sup>47</sup> Koonz 1987. See also Milton 1984; and Bock 1998. Koonz 2007 updates her 1987 book with both bibliography and important new insights about gender issues in Germany both during and after WWII. See also Grossman 2007:216-18.

<sup>48</sup> In 1986 when the complete and unabridged versions of the Diary were finally made available to the Dutch public, it became clear that there were three editions of Anne’s diary: the original written day-to-day from 12 June 1942 to 1 August 1944 (except for the period from 6 to 22 December 1942), Anne’s own re-written

troubled family relationships, as well as her anti-German remarks. He had received the original (written in exercise books and loose sheets) in 1945 from a family friend, Miep Gies who kept it after the Frank family's arrest and deportation in 1944. At first Otto Frank could not find a publisher—four leading Dutch publishers rejected it—until 1946 when an eminent Dutch historian Jan Romein read the manuscript, and wrote about it in an Amsterdam newspaper *Het Parool*. *Het Achterhuis* was printed by Uitgeverij Contact in June 1947 with a print run of only 1500 copies. Greater interest in Anne's diary only manifested itself after 1952 when Anne became 'Americanized'.<sup>49</sup> This published edited version, known as *The Diary of a Young Girl*, was received with great acclaim in the USA and thereafter, in Europe and in Japan, with Anne becoming the War's redemptive figure; she carried the message that despite the Holocaust, "humanity was fundamentally good, and that the devastation wreaked by the Nazis had been but a momentary lapse in the ultimate civilizing trajectory of Western culture."<sup>50</sup>

The American play and movie adaptations of Anne's diary, again based on this edited version which interpreted Anne's writings according to a universalistic ethic,<sup>51</sup> became, in Catherine Bernard's words, "a symbol of moral and intellectual convenience, reduced to a mechanism for easy forgiveness."<sup>52</sup> Appreciation of Anne Frank in the popular imagination did not easily give way to any critical scholarship about 'Anne the writer', the female

---

version 12 June 1942 to 1 August 1944 (completed between 20 May and 4 August 1944), and that one edited by her father which was based on Anne's re-written adaptation. See Waaldijk 1993:329. For the English version, of the final (complete) edition, see Barnouw and van der Stroom 1989.

<sup>49</sup> The first edition of the Diary to be published outside the Netherlands was in 1950 in French. The English versions, were both published in 1952: a British translation by London publisher Vallentine Mitchell, and the American by Doubleday in New York.

<sup>50</sup> Bernard 2000:24. Elsewhere Bernard 2003:209 recalls how the psychoanalyst Bruno Bettelheim, a survivor of Dachau and Buchenwald, was nearly alone among commentators in his publicly-expressed distaste for the 'Anne Frank phenomenon'. In 1960 he wrote that "The universal success of *The Diary of Anne Frank* suggests how much the tendency to deny the reality of the camps is still with us, while her story itself demonstrates how such denial can hasten our own destruction."

<sup>51</sup> On those efforts to make Anne into a myth and the controversies over the omissions and distortions of Anne's diary as portrayed in the play and the first English film, see Melnick 1997.

<sup>52</sup> Bernard 2000:27-8. Doneson 1987:169 argues that Anne's dialogue in these media productions was emptied of Jewish particularity in order to guarantee their appeal to a gentile audience, and that this was done in accordance with the assimilationist ideals of the 1950s. Rosenfeld 1980:17 considers this presentation of Anne's diaries as one of the most 'antiseptic' works of Holocaust literature.

documentalist on the eve of her adulthood, capable of making the sharpest observations about the world about her. ‘Anne the girl’ remained isolated from the impurity of adulthood in order to facilitate her function as a redemptive figure, and ‘Anne the emerging woman’ did not attract recognition. In that way, one may argue, the popular reading of Anne Frank “has been instrumental in the erasure of gender from Holocaust studies.”<sup>53</sup>

Since the late 1990s, however, critical evaluations of Anne’s diary and its impact have appeared,<sup>54</sup> and a steady stream of feminist interpretations of women’s Holocaust experiences, both in anthologies and individually-authored works have also been published. Among the most important are the collection of articles in Carol Rittner and John Roth’s volume *Different Voices: Women and the Holocaust* (1993), and the compilation *Women in the Holocaust* edited by Dalia Ofer and Leonore Weitzman (1998). Many of the articles in this latter volume highlight the gender-specific aspects of Nazi persecution. Also important are Judith Baumel’s book *Double Jeopardy: Gender and the Holocaust* (1998a), Brana Gurewitsch’s collection of personal narratives, *Mothers, Sisters, Resisters: Oral Histories of Women Who Survived the Holocaust* (1998), and Nechama Tec, *Resilience and Courage: Women, Men, and the Holocaust* (2003). This last volume addresses the specific gender-related questions that made the female Holocaust experience different from that of the male. Perhaps, one of the most definitive signs of the progress in the advancement of women and gender Holocaust studies is the work by the male scholar Yehuda Bauer whose 2001 volume entitled *Rethinking the Holocaust* contained a chapter “The Problem of Gender: The Case of Gisi Fleischman.” Here Bauer underscores the idea “that the problems facing women as

---

<sup>53</sup> Bernard 2003:203. As Buruma 1998 notes, for the mass of Dutch people, Anne herself has become almost beatified into a kind of precious Dutch commodity, a “Dutch Joan of Arc ...the saintly victim every Dutch schoolchild knows.” Nevertheless, to this day, the Dutch intelligentsia has a problem with the place of Anne Frank in the country’s history, and in particular, how effective Dutch collaboration was in helping the Nazi destruction of the local Jewish population. See Bovenkerk 2000; de Haan 1998; Moore; 1997; and also Wolf 2007:54-94 which contains important references to this dilemma. Otto Frank’s editing of his daughter’s diary (and thus, his ‘nonsexual remembrance of her’) may also be interpreted as a means to preserve the ideal of the presexual innocent child as the innocent victim. See J.Young 2009.

<sup>54</sup> See Enzer and Solotaroff-Enzer 2000; de Costa 1998; Rittner 1998; and Brenner 1997.

women and men as men have a special poignancy in an extreme situation such as the Holocaust” (p.167). Nowadays, as the editors Elizabeth Baer and Myrna Goldenberg of another significant collection claim in the Introduction to their book *Experience and Expression: Women, The Nazis, and the Holocaust* (2003), “arguments that validate or stimulate gendered studies of the Holocaust far outweigh, in both substance and quantity, those proffered by critics and skeptics” (p.xxvii). And for those opponents who still critique the relevance of gender, one may retort “while Nazi policy in regard to the destruction of its enemies was not gender specific, Nazi practice was.”<sup>55</sup> For example, research on German Jewish families shows that in the earliest stage of Jewish annihilation, the Nazis viewed men as a greater threat to their political system than Jewish women, and thus they set out to eliminate Jewish men first, and thereby destroyed the patriarchy within these families.<sup>56</sup>

Despite the achievements of recent women and gender Holocaust studies, there remains the proclivity in many publications to reduce women’s experiences in terms of their sexuality. In this way, women may be seen as particularly vulnerable – biologically vulnerable – to Nazi brutality, or at the same time as predominantly ‘bonding’ and ‘nurturing’, even in the face of extreme atrocity.<sup>57</sup> In many accounts women became ‘mothers’, regardless of factual circumstances. “Treating women as a more or less unified group with similar behavioral characteristics ignores important differences in cultural background, social class, age, economic standing, level of education, religious observance, and political orientation – differences that, like gender, contributed to the way victims responded to their circumstances.”<sup>58</sup>

Thus, just as in so many of the studies of Chinese women during the Sino-Japanese war period where there is the inclination to essentialize and limit analysis to the categories of

---

<sup>55</sup> Goldenberg 1996:669.

<sup>56</sup> Kaplan 1998:59.

<sup>57</sup> Both Kahane 2001, and Tec 2003:176-88 deal with this theme.

<sup>58</sup> Horowitz 1994:265. Tec 2003 is one of the few exceptional studies that analyzes all these factors.

‘heroine’ or ‘victim’, so there is also the tendency in the Holocaust literature to present an idealized portrait of women’s behavior – strong (like men) or nurturing (like women) – that rubs away the complexities of women’s experiences, and to a certain extent, ‘domesticates’ the Holocaust. It is within this same battleground of the ‘domestic space’ where Jewish women, just as their East Asian counterparts, first had to face resistance and struggle for their lives. As Horowitz has observed, because the war against the Jews was launched in the home and the community rather than in a distant battlefield, it was in the beginning encountered and fought in the domestic realm.<sup>59</sup> The implication of this remark is that female survivors’ testimonies, unlike traditional war narratives, may reflect intimate settings made unfamiliar by atrocity: the home, the synagogue, the marketplace.

#### The Dilemmas of Survival and Resistance in Historical Perspective

The domestic arena was the site of combat in both Europe and East Asia because of the kind of warfare that both the Nazi and Japanese military regimes practiced. World War II was a ‘total war’, meaning “...the commitment of massive armed forces to battle, the thoroughgoing mobilization of industrial economies in the war effort, and hence the disciplined organization of civilians no less than warriors.”<sup>60</sup> The innovation of viewing civilian populations in wartime as an extension of military forces also led to the concept of concentration and labor camps. The genocide of European Jews was also integral to this radicalization of warfare. While neither the Germans nor the Japanese recruited women into military service, they did not hesitate to deem them legitimate targets of violence in either armed battle or in their occupation regimes. The wholesale killing of civilian men, women,

---

<sup>59</sup> Horowitz 1994:276.

<sup>60</sup> Chickering and Förster 2005:2. According to Wasserstein 2007:68, the phrase ‘total war’ was first phrased by the German aphorist Geroge Christoph Lichtenberg in or around 1776.



and their children became a common and essential part of their strategies, for the distinction between soldiers and civilians ceased to matter.<sup>61</sup>

And yet, there were differences between how men and women were treated by the Nazis and Japanese military authorities. During its invasion of China, the Japanese army either shot men of fighting age, or conscripted them as labor. Women were often raped or forced into prostitution. Not only were women raped as a ‘gesture of conquest’—but also as an act of humiliation, “*against Chinese men, to prove their impotence*” (emphasis added).<sup>62</sup> As Timothy Brook observes, the horrific story by Zhang Yibo 張懌伯 (1884-1964) in his collection *Zhenjiang lunxian ji* 鎮江淪陷記 (A record of Zhenjiang under occupation; 1938) about a married couple who came into Zhenjiang from the countryside to sell a basket of cucumbers, reveals the kind of gruesome actions the Japanese inflicted, but differently on men and women. According to Brook’s re-telling of Zhang’s story, the couple “was stopped at the city gate by Japanese guards. They stripped them and forced them to kneel naked in public for hours, until one of the guards raped the wife with one of her cucumbers. He commanded the husband to eat it, and when the man refused, he shot him.”<sup>63</sup>

It is well-known that the Nazis sought directly to threaten and debase Jewish women. Survivors have told of their terror and humiliation when ordered to undress, or when their pubic hair was shaved along with the hair on their head. While the edict ordering Jewish religious men to shave their facial hair was a cultural message of obliteration, the shaving of female bodily hair was predominantly sexual victimization. “Taking away a woman’s clothing and exposing her person to the gaze of men with whom she had no familial or sexual relationship was a crude and effective act of sexual violation....shaving had a communicative

---

<sup>61</sup> Chickering and Förster 2003:1.

<sup>62</sup> Brook 2005:23-4.

<sup>63</sup> Brook 2005:24.

value, intimately tied to their sexual personality.”<sup>64</sup> Notwithstanding the conventional wisdom that racial laws inhibited Germans from raping Jewish women, evidence indicates that Nazis did violate them and then immediately murdered these victims.<sup>65</sup> There is also data that Jewish women were prey to male members of the ‘Judenrat’ (Jewish councils) who demanded sexual favors in exchange for greater survival chances. One female survivor wrote: “In Poland, both in ghettos and camps, sexuality was a means of buying protection from the Jewish policemen and others who had means and power.”<sup>66</sup> Often these Jewish Councils held the power of ‘life over death’. Because they could select who could do heavy labor for the Nazis, they also could defer or exempt these persons from deportation to death camps. ‘Younger’ Jewish men could escape immediate death through labor.<sup>67</sup>

Such situations remind us that war is also about ‘gray zones’.<sup>68</sup> ‘Gray zone’ was the term the Italian Auschwitz survivor Primo Levi (1919-87) assigned to the moral area occupied by those in the situation holding power over others, e.g. camp prisoners who became ‘kapos’ (captains) in exchange for food and other privileges, and who inflicted cruelty on fellow prisoners.<sup>69</sup> Privileged prisoners, Levi observed, were a minority of the camp populations, but “they represented a majority among survivors.”<sup>70</sup> Survival in the camps took many forms, and was not necessarily physical. Passive resistance included smuggling, evading call-ups, and not least, behaving humanely toward fellow prisoners.<sup>71</sup> This latter form of survival strategy was practiced by women prisoners in Auschwitz. Neiberger’s research on the formation of female ‘family groups’ there, based on their memoirs or

---

<sup>64</sup> Ni Aolain 2000:63.

<sup>65</sup> Ni Aolain 2000:53.

<sup>66</sup> Karmel 1969 cited by Ringelheim 1984:72-3. The ‘Judenrat’ was a Nazi-delegated Jewish Council of Elders—all male and headed by former community leaders (such as rabbis), although often the secretarial work was done by women.

<sup>67</sup> Cole 2006:44 makes the point that the statistics of Schindler’s list, with 1000 men and 200 women, make clear the differences of survival rates between men and women.

<sup>68</sup> Card 2000.

<sup>69</sup> Levi 1989:37, 49, 58; see also Levi 1961.

<sup>70</sup> Levi 1989:40.

<sup>71</sup> Rohrllich 1998:1-2.

interviews with women survivors, shows how essential this kind of network proved to be.<sup>72</sup> Her study focuses on how women, sometimes in pairs, but more often in groups of three or more, tried to stay together, and came to associate with their shared group. Such networks encouraged survival through the pooling of resources and energies, and most importantly, the preservation of their individual identities. “Forming a family in Auschwitz was an act of resistance at the personal level, because it gave life meaning and offered support and hope.”<sup>73</sup>

Neiberger’s work exemplifies another important transformation in Holocaust studies in the last decades, from an examination of the mechanics of death to an exploration of the dynamics of survival.<sup>74</sup> Baumel who interviewed survivors of sexually-segregated camps, including males, has analyzed what drew these groups together: same hometown origins, biological kin relations, or proximity—women in the same barracks or workplaces often formed a type of social community.<sup>75</sup> She adds that the larger the group the greater the chance of survival—larger groups heightened the chances of protection, and supported physical as well as mental endurance. She found that the success of the female groups depended on the speed of their bonding—how quickly women enmeshed themselves in these networks—and the strength of these ties. Her research also points to the relevance of an individual’s prewar life. Women who had had experience in organizing and connecting with other women before the War were more likely to adapt to family groups in the camps. The gender factor is also relevant to Baumel’s analysis. She discovered women’s webs were horizontally-orientated, meant to encompass as widely as possible, while male survivors more often than not participated in hierarchical organizations, and their communication skills in the camps were vertically-orientated.<sup>76</sup>

---

<sup>72</sup> Neiberger 1998.

<sup>73</sup> Neiberger 1998:144. See also Brenner, Woods, Frisch 2009.

<sup>74</sup> Baumel 1999:329. One of the first publications by a former prisoner to discuss survival factors among women in Auschwitz was Delbo 1968.

<sup>75</sup> Baumel 1999:338-9.

<sup>76</sup> Baumel 1999:341-2.

On the other hand, the same author conveys the findings of those survivor eyewitnesses of ghetto life where women did not always conform to the image of good mothers and dutiful daughters.<sup>77</sup> Polish Auschwitz prisoner and survivor Tadeusz Borowski reported having viewed young mothers abandon their infants, and hiding behind the rows of women in order to escape selection for the death camps, rather than put their children's well-being first.<sup>78</sup> The prescribed role of women in crisis also did not always hold true for unmarried women without children. Among the young men and women stuck in ghetto conditions in occupied regions, it was the girls and young women, more than their male counterparts who faced the dilemma of whether to escape, or to maintain the traditional female responsibility of caring for aged parents or younger siblings.<sup>79</sup> The squalid and terror-filled existence in the ghettos exacerbated the choices women were forced to make in order to survive.

\* \* \*

In comparison to the amount of interest the Holocaust has generated in the academy, the Sino-Japanese War has received much less attention, and thus our understanding of issues of survival and resistance in this war theatre is also relatively less developed. As Lary and MacKinnon write, while the military details of warfare in East Asia, and sometimes their costs, have been the subject of research, there is less known about the direct economic, social, political, and psychological damage to the Chinese people.<sup>80</sup> One may say that the Sino-Japanese War began with the invasion and occupation of Manchuria in 1931, six years before the official chronology which marks 1937 as the start of the struggle. The conflict broadened into a full-scale invasion of China in that year, with the first assault on Beijing in July, and

---

<sup>77</sup> Baumel 2000:40-1.

<sup>78</sup> Borowski 1988.

<sup>79</sup> Dobroszycki 1984.

<sup>80</sup> Lary and MacKinnon 2001:12. In the same Introduction (p.10), Lary and Mackinnon claim there has been 'a dearth of memoirs' about the War which ultimately intensifies the accounts of foreign witnesses. Outside the Chinese academy, 'war is [considered] an aberration from the political and social norm', and should not be taken too seriously (p.10). Barrett 2001:14-15 discusses the problems of accessing data about the War in Chinese libraries until recently.

the second at Shanghai in August. Beijing fell and capitulated almost immediately while the defense of Shanghai and surrounding regions put up a good fight against the Japanese. Nevertheless, with the punitive attack on Nanjing in December 1937, and the withdrawal of the (GMD) Nationalist government to the interior, China's eight-year nightmare began in earnest. From a broad perspective, this period in Chinese history may be viewed as yet one more instance of the country's vulnerability to foreign invasion since the 1840s and its debilitating effects on the populace. Lary and MacKinnon suggest one of the long-term effects was 'fear of chaos' (*luan* 亂) which instilled a deep sense of 'survivor mentality' among ordinary Chinese.<sup>81</sup>

Given that the Sino-Japanese War was regionalized – with some locations fully occupied (east and central China, along with Taiwan [colonized in 1895] and the provinces of Manchuria, Liaoning, Jilin, and Heilongjiang [occupied in 1931]); partially occupied (in the south provinces of Guangdong and Guangxi), and some unoccupied (the northwest, and west [Sizhuan province]) – people in China endured the War and the Japanese enemy in very different ways. Urban experiences differed significantly from rural.<sup>82</sup> Regions with fragile ecologies or those confronting chronic poverty suffered double and triple blows under rapacious Japanese occupiers, whereas richer areas encountered less economic privation. The northern city Tianjin, for example, which had a long experience of Japanese commercial involvement and had a large resident Japanese population, was taken over in 1937 without much resistance either from Chinese armies or from the local population.<sup>83</sup> The analysis of regional differences of the War's impact has tended to be obscured by a general mythology of unity against the invader (proclaimed by diverse political persuasions). As Lary writes:

---

<sup>81</sup> Lary and MacKinnon 2001:13.

<sup>82</sup> Lary 2007:7-12.

<sup>83</sup> Lary 2007:7-9.

“The Communist interpretation is triumphalist, a history of courageous guerilla warfare that championed nationalism, won mass support amongst the peasantry of north China, and paved the way for the Communist takeover of China in 1949. The War transformed the Communists from a tiny band of almost defeated rebels into a mass movement. The Guomindang version is more muted, a story of courage and endurance through long years of Japanese assault and of efforts undermined by Communist ‘disloyalty’ to the Chinese government.”<sup>84</sup>

In such circumstances, it is not surprising that historical writing about occupied areas shows that resistance against the enemy might have involved all kinds of compromises, and that the concept collaboration is highly ambiguous. In other words, the line between resistance and collaboration was often fuzzy, not least because for those under the Japanese occupation, the resumption of normalcy was critical to survival.<sup>85</sup> For example, ambiguity is what best characterizes the actions of Jimmy Wang (Wang Chengdian), a Nanjing-based auctioneer, who supplied food to refugees, and prostitutes to the Japanese. As Brook writes: “Is feeding refugees an act of resistance or a way of helping the occupier establish control? Is recruiting prostitutes an act of collaborating with the occupier, or a way of protecting the majority of women by giving soldiers nonviolent opportunities for sexual activity?”<sup>86</sup> Poshek Fu’s study of the Shanghai film industry introduces similar concerns with regard to the role of cinema producers. He compellingly argues that although “Shanghai cinema constituted an institutional part of the occupying power, it did not articulate an ideological position to legitimate that power.”<sup>87</sup> His point is that one must make a distinction between working with, or for the proselytizing of the ideology of the occupying rulers. In their compilation of

---

<sup>84</sup> Lary 2007:2.

<sup>85</sup> See Brook 2005; Coble 2003; Duara 2005; Fu 1995; Mitter 2000.

<sup>86</sup> Brook 2005:241.

<sup>87</sup> Fu 2001:197.

studies about wartime Shanghai, Christian Henriot and Yeh Wen-hsin make the case that the city's experience should be summed up, not within the parameters of 'heroic resistance and shameful collaboration', but in terms of bloody struggles between those committed to normalcy in everyday life and those determined to bring about its disruption through terrorist violence and economic control.<sup>88</sup> Using the term 'shadow' to entitle their collection, they underscore that Shanghai during the war was not a site of sunlight and darkness, of white and black certainties, of resistance as opposed to collaboration.

Other cultural historians have also demonstrated the complexities analyzing 'resistance' from a gender perspective. Luo Jiurong's analysis of the life of the 'traitor' Li Qingping 李青萍, a female artist whose work symbolized race/nation in ways that men could not, demonstrates how war could bring both opportunities and dangers for women seeking economic independence.<sup>89</sup> Susan Glosser's study of the contents of the Communist leading magazine *Shanghai funü* 上海婦女 leads her to assert that the journal's advocacy of the pursuit of ordinary life, and of the daily sustenance of mind and body for women in Shanghai under extraordinary circumstances, was heroic and represented women's culture of resistance.<sup>90</sup> From her research on the writings of two leading Shanghai women wartime writers, Su Qing 蘇青(1914-82) and Zhang Ailing 張愛玲 (1920-95), Nicole Huang concludes that their discourse of domesticity functioned as an implicit commentary on the sense of loss and fragmentation during the early 1940s.<sup>91</sup> In occupied Manchuria (Manchuko) where the Japanese authorities promoted the cultivation of the conservative ideal 'good wives, wise mothers' (*xianqi liangmu* 賢妻良母) as part of their cultural agenda, they

---

<sup>88</sup> Henriot and Yeh 2004.

<sup>89</sup> Luo Jiurong 2003.

<sup>90</sup> Glosser 2004. Cf. Lü Fangshang's earlier 1981 analysis of some eighty women's journals.

<sup>91</sup> N.Huang 2004; 2005. One may recall the choices that Eileen Zhang offers her heroine in her story "Se, jie 色戒" (Lust, caution) which was made into a movie by Ang Lee in 2007. The tale's central character Wang Jiazhi must face the intertwining elements of loyalty and betrayal, mass patriotism and individual desire (along with love and lust), choices that reflect the dilemmas of individuals caught up in wartime no-win situations.

met critique and open resistance by numbers of women writers. Norman Smith's recent research on the lives, careers, and literary legacies of seven prolific Manchuria-based Chinese women writers shows how they openly articulated their dissatisfaction with the patriarchal and imperialist nature of the Japanese cultural program there.<sup>92</sup> But, as he argues, these same writers also worked in close association with colonial institutions. The irony of the situation, he observes, was "...the colonial state that they condemned for its conservatism afforded them the freedom to pursue the independence that they sought."<sup>93</sup> In other words, ineffective and misogynistic Japanese colonial practices spurred these women to disavow the regime but also to utilize the local publishing media to express themselves, and gain recognition for themselves as important writers.

Elsewhere, in rural regions where fighting was the norm during most of the war years, people were more easily exposed to the direct vicissitudes of combat, resistance, survival and women faced increasing hardships. As Yu Wenxiu 余文秀, one of You Jianming's interviewees, expressed about her life during the war years, there were often blurry lines about what was the right thing to do at any given time. She herself underwent army training along with her then fiancé (and later husband).<sup>94</sup> They managed to survive this era during which time Yu Wenxiu, despite her military background, served as an elementary school teacher in regions far away from her home locale in Suxian 宿嫺 (Anhui province). This meant long periods of separation from her husband. Her oral history also indicates the dilemmas that she and other ordinary people faced in order to articulate loyalty to state and party, and the compromises they made in order to survive the Japanese occupation. When Yu Wenxiu's father-in-law who served the Guomindang as an undercover agent, was seized by the Japanese in the Guangxi region, he was made to work in their customs inspection unit.

---

<sup>92</sup> Smith 2007.

<sup>93</sup> Smith 2004:313. Smith 2007:131-37 focuses on the consequences (and complications thereof) for these writers in the period post-1945 through the early 1980s.

<sup>94</sup> You Jianming 2004:115-53.



But after the War he was incarcerated by the Chinese government as a traitor, and Yu and her family had great difficulty extricating him from a prison whose location had fallen under Communist control.

### Narratives, Testimony, and the Gendered Memory of the Holocaust

Yu Wenxiu's narrative, as well as those by others reproduced in You Jianming's book, remind us how essential 'local knowledge', from inside a situation, is for outsiders to understand the gendering of wartime experience. At the same time one should consider how narratives of women's encounters (written or spoken) are constructed. Even the rich archive of memoirs, diaries, fictionalized autobiographies, autobiographical fictions, oral history, video testimony that the Holocaust has yielded must be recognized as texts which are constructions, and reconstructions, of encounters and memories.<sup>95</sup> In general, historians utilizing these kinds of materials have demonstrated the complexities of analyzing them. Much of the pre-1980s Holocaust scholarship treated 'testimony' as if it were a 'reflection' of an easily accessible truth,<sup>96</sup> but more recent research takes into account the pivotal role of language and textuality in survivors' statements.<sup>97</sup>

This new work has benefited from the growing historiographical interest in the process of narration as a manifestation of both cultural understanding and linguistic expression made popular by Hayden White's 1973 book *Metahistory* which helped launch the 'linguistic turn'.<sup>98</sup> Since this 'linguistic turn', Holocaust historians are more likely to

---

<sup>95</sup> For the feminist viewpoint on this matter, see Scott 1991.

<sup>96</sup> Moi 1985:45 calls this tendency 'reflectionism'. A 'reflectionist reading' fails to see the narrative as a (re)construction of a confusing, multi-faceted experienced reality; instead, "a reflectionist reading considers the text a more or less faithful reproduction of an external reality to which we all have equal and unbiased access." She adds: "This kind of viewpoint neglects to consider the proposition that the real is not only something we construct, but a controversial construct at that."

<sup>97</sup> See Baumele 2000 on the value of women's oral documentation and autobiographies. See also Schiffrin 2002 on how linguistic theory is relevant for the analysis of Holocaust survivors' speech patterns.

<sup>98</sup> White stimulated historians to contemplate the rhetorical strategies they employed to conceptualize the past, and to think about the so-called 'neutrality' of the historical narrative. Other theoretical breakthroughs included the work of Ann Rigney on narratives and the French Revolution (Rigney 1990; 1992), and Penny Summerfield.

acknowledge that ‘experience’ is not only both uniquely personal and positional, but also that it is influenced by the different lenses and discourses through which they and the survivors understand and describe themselves and the world. They have differentiated several levels at which witnesses (both male and female) “create meaning” out of the past.<sup>99</sup> These levels include first, *experience*: survivors cannot tell everything, so they choose to report only certain experiences. Second, there is *memory*, which by necessity is also selective: there will be certain events they can remember or choose to remember, but not others. The third level is *narrative emplotment*: survivors choose a structure, a tone, a preferred order to relate their experiences. At the same time, it is important to stress that gender does play a role on all three levels: “men and women remember and recount differently.”<sup>100</sup> General research on memory indicates that gender does in fact affect memory, and that gender differences in memory are the effect of preferences which are in turn caused by socialization.<sup>101</sup> For example, male prewar socialization which valued independence and autonomy may account for the fact that men in their narratives or testimonies (such as those by the well-known authors Elie Wiesel and Primo Levi) were less likely to recount the important relations they had with other men in the camps. The modern Holocaust scholar Pascale Bos argues that European men born in the first decades of the twentieth century (when memories of the First World War were still prevalent) would tend to put greater emphasis on recollections that contain instances of individual strength, heroism, or autonomy than those of dependent bonds. In contrast, she contends, women born in the same era who had been socialized to value relationships and interdependence, would tend to remember friendships and networks

---

whose 1998 book on British women’s lives during the War argues personal testimonies are not representative of a coherent, already given sense of self, but a process in which memory is produced and reproduced from the range of discursive formulations available in the past and present. Wang Lingzhen 2004 makes a similar claim about subjectivity and its uses in early twentieth century Chinese female autobiographical writing.

<sup>99</sup> Bos 2003:31.

<sup>100</sup> Bos 2003:33.

<sup>101</sup> Loftus et.al.1987. See also the essays by Ely and McCabe 2005; and Leydesdorff, Passerini, and Thompson 2005.

and choose to accentuate them in their narratives.<sup>102</sup> Nevertheless, she cautions, one must not accept such testimonies as always reliable.

Testimony is a contentious issue among Holocaust experts. For some writers, the problem with testimony is the sanctity to which it is assigned so that all the complexities therein are dismissed.<sup>103</sup> Somehow, the survivors—who are considered unique—can inform us not just about the Holocaust, but offer “universal lessons regarding morality and the human condition.”<sup>104</sup> In this view, because the Holocaust would seem to hold messages for the advancement of humanity, we should honor the narratives of those who witnessed, i.e. those with ‘first-hand testimony’. In other words, because survivors were neglected in the immediate postwar period, there is now a moral significance attached to our response of their testimony.<sup>105</sup> Such longing to treat survivors in an ethical manner may also explain the readiness of the public to embrace survivor narratives, as well as the shock of learning that some of these works are in fact works of fiction.<sup>106</sup> No doubt for many survivors bearing testimony has given them a sense of purpose, allowing them the possibility to confront the past, and gain ontological authority. But too often those in custody of these testimonies may not treat them properly. Greenspan’s analysis of those publications expounding survivors’ accounts postulates that there are basically two forms of presentation. The first has a kind of ethnic/religious rhetoric and heroic quality.<sup>107</sup> The compiler ‘honors’ the tales and stories survivors have to tell, and infuses his/her own values into theirs.<sup>108</sup> The second engages in a

---

<sup>102</sup> Bos 2003:36. One is reminded here of the 1982 seminal study by Gilligan who how men and women develop different priorities with regard to individualization and relational interdependence.

<sup>103</sup> Browning 2003:40.

<sup>104</sup> Waxman 2006:169.

<sup>105</sup> Waxman 2006:170.

<sup>106</sup> One of the most notorious cases is the work *Fragments: Memories of a Childhood, 1939-1948* (London, 1996; originally published in German as *Bruchstücke: Aus einer Kindheit 1939-1948*; Frankfurt, 1995) by the Swiss writer Benjamin Wilkomirski, a book which won a series of prestigious prizes but was proven a fake in 1998.

<sup>107</sup> Greenspan 1998.

<sup>108</sup> An example is the uncritical and hagiographical introduction by Gurewitch in her 1998 book in which she conveys the ‘privilege’ she has to meet and speak with women who have suffered great adversity and pain, and yet express hope, love, and faith in humanity.

seemingly knowledgeable psychoanalytical pathology of the survivors --- their stress, their guilt, their shame. The survivors' testimony is interrogated and re-interrogated so that every silence, every stutter and every minor statement takes on significance in a clinical discourse, which is often expressed in terms of 'survivor syndrome'.<sup>109</sup>

There is also an alternative to this positioning of the witness, i.e. charging the listener with the burden of the testimony. Such thinking forms the basis of the influential work by the psychoanalyst (and Holocaust survivor) Dori Laub who believes 'testimony is impossible'. Along with Yale University professor Shoshana Felman, Laub has argued the Holocaust was an event without a witness. The Nazis not only tried to exterminate the physical witnesses of their crime, but also any other vestiges of their atrocities. Moreover, the inherently incomprehensible and deceptive psychological structure of the event (not being told where one was going, being deliberately given hope against hope by the Nazis who were intent on ensuring the docile cooperation of their victims) precluded its own witnessing.<sup>110</sup> And thus for those persons who bear testimony in the 'Video Archive for Holocaust Testimonies at Yale University', speaking is not a simple recording of memory but rather a speech act reconstructing the very possibility of speaking and being heard by another, which is in turn the condition for being able to hear oneself. As Laub has written: "The degree to which bearing witness was required, entailed such an outstanding measure of awareness and of comprehension of the event--of its radical otherness to all frames of reference—that it was beyond the limits of human ability (and willingness) to grasp, to transmit, or to imagine."<sup>111</sup> The general lesson Laub wishes to convey is that the listener actively contributes to the construction of testimonial narrative, and the receiving is analogous to the giving of

---

<sup>109</sup> The expression 'survivor syndrome' was first coined by the Norwegian psychiatrist William Niederland in 1968.

<sup>110</sup> Felman and Laub 1992:xvii.;80.

<sup>111</sup> Felman and Laub 1992:84.

testimony because it too involves a process of selection and omission, attention and inattention, highlighting and overshadowing, for which the listener remains responsible.<sup>112</sup>

Laub's work owes much to that of Sigmund Freud whose early writings on trauma developed in conjunction with his hermeneutical understanding of memory. Freud believed that all perceptions of experience were retained as whole memories in the unconscious mind, and memories however painful to face, haunt our conscious minds. Moreover, only by working through repressed memory will be empowered to liberate ourselves from it.<sup>113</sup> For a number of Holocaust scholars, these ideas are relevant to how they write about the relation between history and memory. For example, Saul Friedlander (himself a Jewish Czech refugee hidden in wartime France) who has approached this matter from a psychoanalytical perspective, argues that his own coming to terms with the Holocaust was a long process of "working through" the gaps in his memory.<sup>114</sup> He also believes that the Holocaust's historical meaning remains an open question due to methodological issues surrounding the problem of narrative. Because narrative by its nature is selective and limiting, it can reduce the 'awe of memory' to a fixed framework (chronological, geographical, media-bound) that may obfuscate the possibilities of historical representation.<sup>115</sup> Similarly, Dominick LaCapra in his many publications has contended that any attempt to reconcile memory and history must be mediated by a psychoanalytical reckoning.<sup>116</sup> While LaCapra gives no answer to the question of whether a constructive form of historical knowledge can be created from our connection to the Holocaust, he does offer suggestions to construct historical representations that aim at empathic understanding, but he also denies the possibility of ever knowing "how it really was."<sup>117</sup>

---

<sup>112</sup> Trezise 2008:19, and Laub 2009, in response to Trezise. See also J.Young 1997.

<sup>113</sup> My understanding of Freud here is based on Hutton 1994.

<sup>114</sup> Friedlander 1992a.

<sup>115</sup> Friedlander 1992b.

<sup>116</sup> LaCapra 1994; 1998. See also Klein 2000:139-43 on LaCapra.

<sup>117</sup> Roth 1998:110; see also Rothberg 2000.

In contrast to this viewpoint on history and memory, there is also a comprehensive theory of collective memory which too has inspired some Holocaust scholars.<sup>118</sup> The concept of ‘collective memory’ was first articulated by the French sociologist Maurice Halbwachs (1877-1945) who argued that memory was provisional and defined by contemporaneous uses; in other words, memory was continually reshaped by the social and temporal contexts into which it was received.<sup>119</sup> In Halbwachs’ formulation, collective memory is constructed through the action of groups and individuals who weave individual memories into social frameworks. Halbwachs saw memories being ‘conflated’ as they were continuously being revised, and thus ‘reduced’ into idealized images. While remembering may be done individually, it is social groups who determine the form that the remembering takes. Without social frameworks to sustain them, memories wither away. In contrast to Freud, Halbwachs saw memory not the hidden ground of history, but an internal activity of the living mind that could never be recovered.<sup>120</sup>

In Holocaust studies, one of the most influential uses of the concept ‘collective memory’ may be viewed in the work of Peter Novick whose 1999 book *The Holocaust and Collective Memory* elaborated how present and future concerns dictate which bits of the past are remembered. He asserts that while American Jews did receive reports of some of the worst atrocities carried out against the Jews during the time of WWII, they did not really begin to view the destruction of European Jewry as a singular event—as the Holocaust—until

---

<sup>118</sup> On the historiography of ‘collective memory’, and its growing popularity since the 1980s, see Wertsch 2002: Ch.3.

<sup>119</sup> Hutton 1994:149.

<sup>120</sup> Hutton 1994:149. Mention should also be made of the concept ‘postmemory’, first posited by Hirsch 1997. ‘Postmemory’ is mediated through photographs, films, books, testimonies distinguished from memory by generational distance, and from history by deep personal connection. See also studies by J.Young 2000, and Lentin 2006:465 where she writes that postmemory is one facet of collective memory. According to Hirsch 2008:106 “postmemory also describes the relationship that the generation after those who witnessed cultural or collective trauma bears to the experiences of those who came before, experiences that they ‘remember’ only by means of the stories, images, and behaviors among which they grew up.” For an example of a written postmemory, see the excellent book by Fass 2009. Also, cf. Popkin 2003.

the trial of Adolf Eichmann in 1961 in Israel.<sup>121</sup> In Israel this court case brought to an end what some observers consider a certain ambiguity that had characterized both official and private Israeli attitudes toward the Holocaust. According to Tim Cole and James E. Young, the early years of Israeli state-building were marked by a reticence in talking about the Holocaust, which was an event seen by “early statisticians like David Ben-Gurion...as the ultimate fruit of Jewish life in exile” and therefore “represented a diaspora that deserved not only to be destroyed, but also forgotten.”<sup>122</sup> Such vagaries ended with the trial, as survivor after survivor took the witness stand in the courtroom, and recounted their Holocaust experiences. Thereafter, the Holocaust became a matter of widespread public attention in Israel and elsewhere, and ‘victimhood’ began to acquire a more positive status and partly because the 1967 and 1973 wars showed both Israel’s strength and its continuing vulnerability.

Gender enters into this conversation about history and memory in the narratives of female survivors in Israel. Ronit Lentin, herself an Israeli and the daughter of Holocaust survivors, puts forward, after decades of research among her people, that male survivors’ narratives tend to correspond with collective memory and its nationalized constructions, whereas female testimonies are hesitant and recursive.<sup>123</sup> In a book-length study, Lentin demonstrates how the autobiographical narratives and creative works of her female interviewees are “counter-narratives” challenging the Zionist meta-narrative with its usual emphasis on masculine heroism and militarism. She also argues that Israeli public discourse on the Holocaust has tended to pathologize survivors, and that this process of stigmatization often feminizes survivors as a mythical counter-type of the virile Israeli sabra.<sup>124</sup> Bos, also reviewing a large number of published narratives, concludes that gender is one of the

---

<sup>121</sup> Novick 1999:133-45.

<sup>122</sup> Cole 1999:8; J.Young 1993:211.

<sup>123</sup> Lentin 2006:471.

<sup>124</sup> Lentin 1996; 2000b: Ch.6.

important lenses through which survivors (male and female) perceive and understand themselves as members of their community.<sup>125</sup>

All the complexities and weaknesses that Lentin and Bos have perceived in their analyses of gendered memory permeate the history of one of Israel's most famous war heroines, Hannah Senesz (1921-44), a Hungarian-born Jew who immigrated in 1939 to Palestine where she worked for a time on a kibbutz.<sup>126</sup> In 1942 she was chosen to join a special operation organized by British intelligence and the Yishuv (pre-State Israel) to drop parachutists, originally from central and eastern Europe, behind enemy lines in a clandestine mission to aid the Allied war effort. Among the specific goals of this task force was to assist Jews in occupied Europe and to strengthen the Zionist movement. Having completed a course in wireless operations, parachuting, and general military instruction, Senesz joined several dozen colleagues (including two other women) and jumped into Europe.<sup>127</sup> In June 1944 she crossed the Hungarian border, but was captured, tortured, and put face-to-face with her mother Catherine who had remained behind in Budapest at the start of the War. Hannah Senesz was tried for espionage, offered a pardon if she admitted her guilt, but refused and was shot to death.

Senesz's story came into the collective national memory of the state of Israel in several stages, which according to Baumel, reflected Israeli society's cultural and political values from the mid-1940s onward. In the first period 1943-45, Israeli historiography stressed the total equality of male and female efforts in this British/Zionist mission, and thus Senesz's story conformed to the contemporary Zionist ideological 'neutered' ethos of no gender differentiation.<sup>128</sup> In the next historical period, from the late 1940s until the mid-1970s, the parachutist mission became a national symbol of Israeli courage and sacrifice, and the

---

<sup>125</sup> Bos 2003:38. On gender and collective memory with regard to Auschwitz victims, see Jacobs 2008.

<sup>126</sup> Baumel 1996b; 1998b.

<sup>127</sup> Baumel 1998b explains why only Senesz achieved fame in contrast to the other female parachutists Haviva Reik and Sara Braverman, and accentuates the gender factors relevant to the Senesz myth.

<sup>128</sup> Baumel 1998b:102-3.



women participants were imbued with alternative, non-threatening sexual/gender characteristics. Senesz became the “Israeli Joan of Arc,” a local vestal virgin whose purity was surpassed only by her heroism.<sup>129</sup> The lacuna, such as her romantic attachments before 1939 and her outspoken desire to become a martyr, never entered part of the ‘doctored’ representation that made her and the mission sacrosanct in Israeli history. Senesz’s diary, first published in 1950, was censored, with references to her Hungarian childhood and personal life removed, as they might mar the impression of the almost ‘sabra’ heroine pure in body and spirit.<sup>130</sup> While the canonical image of Senesz continued to dominate history books and school readers in the 1970s, there appeared during the 1980s the first cracks that would shatter the heroine’s invincibility. A number of academics and journalists began to raise questions about the successes and failures of the mission, and doubts about the “untouchable virgin of Israel,” whom they suggested was a pawn of a mis-managed Zionist effort to cover up its own wartime inadequacies. With the publication in 1994 of Senesz’s uncensored diary, along with files documenting her life on the kibbutz where she lived, the holy aura around her began to fade. Baumel and other scholars have been able to unravel the myths surrounding Senesz, and to restore the gender component to her narrative. According to one of Baumel’s interviewees who knew Senesz’s surviving relatives, the last words she spoke before her execution was “I’m only sorry that I die a virgin.”<sup>131</sup> Thus, Senesz, nearly 65 years after her death, is no longer the ‘silent woman’.

### The Retrieval of Women’s WWII Narratives in Comparative Perspective

In this paper we have tried to indicate how the evolving historical evaluations of the experiences of Chinese and European Jewish women during and after WWII share certain

---

<sup>129</sup> Baumel 1998b:104.

<sup>130</sup> Baumel 1998b:104.

<sup>131</sup> Baumel 1998b:108. where the author makes the remark “This is hardly a Zionist credo...” For further analysis of literary responses to how changing Zionist attitudes shaped women’s Holocaust memories, see Brenner 2009.

commonalities: invisibility, ‘submerged narratives’, and representations based on essentialist categories of ‘heroine’ or ‘victim’. In writing about both these groups of women survivors, historians have indicated the relevance of the gender factor in analyzing their wartime suffering and their postwar recovery. On the other hand, there is still little work on the significance of gender in Holocaust memory (rather than historical) studies,<sup>132</sup> while research on Chinese women in the WWII era is only beginning. But in both sets of circumstances, excavating an ‘archaeology of silence’, that is reclaiming women’s experiences, hidden from history as they may be, is not sufficient without contextualizing these experiences within the gendered analysis of catastrophic events.<sup>133</sup>

In the case of Chinese women this silence is also a product of the prevalent historiography which is highly politicized, and burdened by the effects of ‘national mythmaking’, i.e. the politics of memory.<sup>134</sup> The politics of memory is in itself a phenomenon of today’s global culture, and thus, evaluations of the War and the postwar periods have become increasingly guided by comparisons.<sup>135</sup> While earlier historical assessments on Japan and Germany focused on the characterization of wartime fascism, or the traumas of Auschwitz and Hiroshima,<sup>136</sup> public debate beginning in the late 1980s and 1990s has centered on the ‘management’ of War legacies in the postwar period.<sup>137</sup> What has now emerged is a kind of ‘transnational memory’ which informs postwar generations what is relevant about this conflict. As the Japan historian Carol Gluck has written: “Holocaust memory challenges genocide everywhere, and Hiroshima memory confronts nuclear warfare past and future.”<sup>138</sup> And as for the ‘comfort women’, she adds, they are also part of a transnational memory which challenges the male ‘heroic narratives’ rationalizing the link

---

<sup>132</sup> For an example of a study that ignores the significance of gender to memory, see Rosenfeld 2009.

<sup>133</sup> Lentin 1997:13.

<sup>134</sup> He Yinan 2007. For a study of how War memories are treated in Japan nowadays, see Seaton 2007.

<sup>135</sup> Seraphim 2007:32. See also Seraphim 2006.

<sup>136</sup> Buruma 1994; Bosworth 1993.

<sup>137</sup> For example, Chang Jui-te 2001 examined the ways that the commemoration of the War in both China and South Korea is used to keep animosity toward Japan alive.

<sup>138</sup> Gluck 2007:49.

between war and sexual violence. ‘Comfort women’, along with other gender-related matters pertinent to the Sino-Japanese War are now just ‘coming into memory’. Like the Holocaust which came into memory only in the 1960s, the history of the ‘comfort women’ is only now becoming part of the collective memory of the War in East Asia. The next step is to incorporate other ‘silent women’ into the narratives of WWII.

This integration of Chinese women’s experiences into historical writing in the PRC has been thwarted during the last sixty years by a series of state-sponsored master narratives. In the Mao-era (1949-76), public memory of the War virtually disappeared. There was little to no research on the history of Japanese aggression, and the government privileged a narrative focused on Mao Zedong’s victorious leadership of the revolution and the efforts of the People’s Liberation Army to rid China of the Japanese.<sup>139</sup> Only after Mao’s death did a public memory of the War gradually gain acceptance. From the first trickle of publications in scholarly writings appearing in the mid-1980s, there emerged a ‘flood’ of both academic and popular publications as well as television dramas, films, and cartoon books dealing with the War, all of which may be summed up by the expression ‘new remembering’.<sup>140</sup>

This ‘new remembering’ also paid attention to the role of the GMD forces under the leadership of Chiang Kai-shek (Jiang Jieshi) in the War, and even on occasion, praised his efforts.<sup>141</sup> There are at least three reasons why the PRC government rendered the legacy of the War into active memory at this point in time (from the 1980s). First, with the revival of academic life and publishing in the ‘reform era’, the CCP loosened its control over some media, and the number of topics acceptable in historical writing widened. Second, Beijing began a political program to convince Taiwan of the advantages of unification with the mainland, and thus found it useful to cultivate war memories shared by GMD adherents.

---

<sup>139</sup> Coble 2007; Gries 2004; Mitter 2003; Waldron 1996. See also Hung 2008 on the PRC ‘cult of the martyr’.

<sup>140</sup> Coble 2007; Waldron 1996. See also Denton 2007’s study of Chinese war museums which illustrates the differences in exhibitions dating from the Maoist and post-Maoist era.

<sup>141</sup> Coble 2007:401.

And third, and most importantly, the ‘new remembering’ helped Beijing create support in the aftermath of the 1989 Tiananmen uprising. With the ‘rapidly dimming luster’ of communist ideology (in its Marxist-Leninist-Maoist guise), the Chinese government needed a new kind of tool to legitimate itself, and found the appeal of patriotism a valuable alternative. Thus, nowadays the ‘new remembering’ has become “the centerpiece in contemporary nationalism.”<sup>142</sup>

Both the historiographical changes and contemporary nationalism have also affected the way women’s War experiences have been studied in the PRC. While the Maoist period saw little scholarship on women – although women workers, peasants, and revolutionaries were well-represented on posters and other forms of mass media -- the reformist era changes brought a new appreciation of women’s history, and in particular with regard to the period beginning in the twentieth century.<sup>143</sup> Collections of documents about women’s movements from 1840 to 1949 began to be issued, and all kinds of women’s organizations from the central level down to provincial, prefecture and county levels actively engaged in publicizing how Chinese women fought heroically during the War.<sup>144</sup> ‘Biographies of Red Army Heroines’, ‘Anti-Japanese Heroine Zhao Yiman’, and ‘Iron Current: Biographies of Heroic Women in the Iron Army’ are just some of the titles (in translation) of Chinese books that have appeared to commemorate women’s wartime efforts.<sup>145</sup> While the constraints of a CCP-dictated historiography centered on class strategy, peasant rebellions, and revolution were lifted in the reform era, it was not always easy for scholars focused on women’s history to escape such deeply entrenched concepts as ‘linear progress’, ‘stage theory’, ‘scientific truth’ and so on, as well as the dominant paradigm ‘from oppression to liberation’ in their writing.<sup>146</sup>

---

<sup>142</sup> Coble 2007:403. See also Gries 2004:69-85; and Mitter 2003:121.

<sup>143</sup> Hershatter and Wang 2008:1413.

<sup>144</sup> Pan Yihong 2009:2-3.

<sup>145</sup> Pan Yihong 2009:3 n.10.

<sup>146</sup> Hershatter and Wang 2008:1416.

However, what did surface in the 1980s was one of the first efforts by Chinese women historians to utilize oral history to illustrate the transformation of women's lives in the PRC. In the early 1990s, Li Xiaojing, a leading woman scholar, launched a project to collect women's life stories. One of the four volumes she compiled, *Rang nüren ziji shuohua: Qinli zhanzheng* (Let women themselves talk: war experiences; 2003) focused on women as direct participants or witnesses during the War. Here one will find 'personal stories' of both triumph and failure. But because Li also took her subjects' lives into the land reforms of the early PRC years, she also used this publication to endorse CCP ideological claims on women and the revolution. In recent years, Li Xiaojing has gained a reputation among historians both inside and outside China as one of the most vociferous opponents of Western theoretical feminist scholarship, and thus, one may consider her aim to retain 'Chinese characteristics' of the heritage of women's history emblematic of these new nationalistic aims.<sup>147</sup>

Since the mid-1990s, populist nationalist sentiment about the War has taken on a new theme, i.e. China's victimization. This idea, which also emphasizes Japanese atrocities, makes the telling of the War a 'numbers' game' of violent incidents, heinous battles, terrifying massacres, of 'good guys versus bad guys'.<sup>148</sup> The goal of this historical literature is "to maximize the number of victims" in order to encourage a certain kind of memory about the War, and instill a sense of patriotic feeling. Whereas the CCP declared in the Maoist era 'suppression of the victim speak' and counted 9.32 million Chinese dead as a result of the War, in 1995 the then Party leader Jiang Zemin raised the estimated to 35 million (still the current figure).<sup>149</sup> Since the mid-1980s, the various anniversaries surrounding the War have also provided the occasion for publications of collected memoirs, but even these compilations for the most part have reflected the story of the nation, and not the

---

<sup>147</sup> Hershatter and Wang 2008:1419-20.

<sup>148</sup> Coble 2007:403. See Zhao 2004; and Suzuki 2007.

<sup>149</sup> Gries 2004:80.

particular misfortunes of the individual.<sup>150</sup> As Coble points out, there is a big gap in these publications because so little of the human element is evident: “one can guess at the personal tragedies, yet there is no diary of Anne Frank in these collections.”<sup>151</sup>

Looking at the diary of the famous woman soldier Xie Bingying, mentioned at the beginning of this paper, we may well ask how exceptional is her life story, and not least, how her writing fits into the ‘silence’ of twentieth century Chinese women. Xie’s autobiography which appeared in installments, including her volumes *Congjun riji* (War diary; written in 1927, published in 1928), and *Yige nübing de zizhuan* (Autobiography of a female soldier; begun in 1933 and published in 1936), and *Xin congjun riji* (New war diary; written in 1937, and published in 1938), tells of her resistance against both human enemies and the old gender demands,<sup>152</sup> and also expresses the complexities of twentieth century Chinese womanhood. As an unmarried mother working on the frontline as a nurse after Japan’s invasion, Xie did not earn accolades from either the Communists (whom she publicly despised) or the GMD wartime government which frowned upon her morals. Because of the political content of her diaries, she drew a great deal of critical attention both during and after the War. What makes her autobiography so unique is how much it reveals about the problematic intricacies of twentieth century Chinese women: how their own individual stories intersected with the big and visible events, and how they negotiated their daily lives without knowing what would happen.

None of these dilemmas is revealed in one of the more important publications that focuses exclusively on women’s participation in the War in CCP-held, Japanese-occupied, and GMD-dominated zones, i.e. Ding Weiping’s book *Zhongguo funü kangzhan shi yanjiu, 1937-1945* (A study on the history of Chinese women in China’s War of Resistance against

---

<sup>150</sup> Coble 2007:407.

<sup>151</sup> Coble 2007:406. There do exist a large number of soldier-authored diaries held in Japanese, Taiwanese, and PRC archives, but they still await systematic investigation. See Moore 2009.

<sup>152</sup> On Xie Bingying, see Wang Jing 2008:166-87.

Japan, 1937-1945; issued in 2000).<sup>153</sup> This work has received much praise from PRC scholars, including one who considered it the book which “filled the gap in the studies of China’s War of Resistance against Japan.”<sup>154</sup> Despite its wide geographical coverage of the War, this volume credits leadership of the CCP for women’s mobilization, and subordinates wartime movements in GMD-held areas as less effective. Given the situation that the CCP’s policies on women during the War were not always coherent,<sup>155</sup> Ding’s work is questionable. Goodman’s research on the CCP Taihang base area in Shanxi province suggests that the CCP took different approaches to women’s mobilization and won different degrees of support in each county, depending upon land tenure patterns, pre-War existing local women’s organizations, and other factors not easily subsumed in a single tale of revolution.<sup>156</sup> In sum, Ding’s book treats women as the subjects of political forces, and not as active participants in the War.

A recent alternative to this kind of impersonal scholarship is Pan Yihong’s daring and revealing publication which directly challenges the CCP narrative.<sup>157</sup> Her research is based on a series of interviews she conducted in 2001 in Beijing (and one in Jinan (Shandong province) with five women who had all joined the CCP during the War, engaged in resistance work both in urban and rural regions but who did not see military combat. Pan aimed to undertake a study that would go beyond the rhetoric of the (Communist) ‘martial heroine’ model, and thus attempted to probe her interviewees’ inner feelings, conflicts, moral ambiguities, and perspectives. She found that her subjects (all of whom she gave pseudonyms) had been young, educated, and from urban petit bourgeoisie or landowner

---

<sup>153</sup> Ding Weiping 2000.

<sup>154</sup> The comments of Ren Guixiang cited by Li Danke 2000:25.

<sup>155</sup> See Goodman 2000 which is one of the few case studies of what particular circumstances in one location made women actively participate in the War of Resistance.

<sup>156</sup> See Goodman 1997.

<sup>157</sup> Pan Yihong 2009. Pan is based in the USA. On page 7 of her article, Pan writes “The published narratives of women used for this study have been subject to official censorship and self-censorship.”

classes when they joined the CCP for political reasons, i.e. “to save the nation.”<sup>158</sup> Pan notes that some of their accounts conveyed the psychologically empowering sense of solidarity, of belonging to a large revolutionary community, all fighting for the same goals. But as Pan analyzed their narratives, she also found another common factor in their life stories: their motives to join the Communist cause reverberated gender issues. They hoped to attain their own independence from patriarchal family demands, and from conventional societal expectations to marry and raise a family. Pan concludes that in their lifetimes these women had to negotiate their identities as both individuals and CCP members which in the long run did not always bring personal satisfaction. She also reiterates her conclusion from her 1997 study, that ‘female emancipation’ may have attracted women to join the ‘revolution’, but in wartime, feminist goals were submerged as efforts to fight the enemy became the first priority of the Party.<sup>159</sup>

The women Li Danke interviewed for her book *Echoes of Chongqing* reverberate the miseries of that city where millions of refugees fled after massive Japanese military campaigns elsewhere.<sup>160</sup> The under-equipped region of Sichuan where the Nationalist government retreated in 1938 endured both the social crises engendered by the arrival of these people and heavy Japanese bombardment: “By 1940 Chongqing...was the most heavily bombed capital in the world. It was pounded on every day that it was not shrouded in cloud or mist for the first few years of the War.”<sup>161</sup> Li Danke’s study on this region takes up two issues that have not yet seen much investigation: the wartime economy on a micro-level, and the multifarious voices of women’s participation in wartime grass-roots political movements. As for the “women-driven informal economy,” it operated out of necessity. With the

---

<sup>158</sup> Pan Yihong 2009:7. Also Benton 1999:50 notes that the Communist ‘New Fourth Army’, unlike other Communist armies which filled their ranks with peasants, recruited large numbers of industrial workers and students, including a certain proportion of young females.

<sup>159</sup> Pan Yihong 1997:130; 2009:24.

<sup>160</sup> Li Danke 2010:18. Figures vary on the total count, but it could be as high as 2 million in Chongqing alone.

<sup>161</sup> Lary and MacKinnon 2001:86.



boundaries between agriculture and industry, and between rural and urban areas becoming less distinct in the Chongqing area during the course of the War, women learned to cultivate crops in their own gardens, make goods by hand to sell on the street, manage on less and less income, and cope with the scarcity of everything.<sup>162</sup> As for politics, Li argues the total war mobilization effort and anti-Japanese nationalism helped bring activists of different ideological persuasions to organize an extensive women's movement in the city and surrounding countryside. Activists, both on the GMD and CCP sides, debated the assumptions behind employment discrimination against women, and included the voices of Zhou Enlai 周恩來 and his wife Deng Yingchao 鄧應超 who challenged the continuing influence of the dictum *xianqi liangmu* in wartime propaganda.<sup>163</sup> Li also writes about women who tried to gain a voice in the People's Political Council (founded in 1938), which had moved to Chongqing with the government. She sees this feminist-led political activism as the basis of postwar local governmental reforms.<sup>164</sup> Li maintains that female activists also took their politics to the countryside during the War and developed a massive education program for rural women.

Aside from political reasons that account for the lack of Chinese female voices in War narratives, there is also a cultural dimension to consider here. Forgetting or amnesia is often seen as a positive force in Chinese tradition for the sake of ongoing life. Chinese mythology communicates that it is a female icon, Old Lady Meng whose soup holds the key to new life. Old Lady Meng, a figure borrowed from Buddhist folklore, dispenses the Broth of Oblivion to souls departing this world: after drinking the soup, the soul goes over the Bridge of Pain, and demons hurl the soul into waters for new life.<sup>165</sup> Although this icon of amnesia is not necessarily indicative of any value attached to women as articulators of the

---

<sup>162</sup> Li Danke 2010:99-127.

<sup>163</sup> Li Danke 2009:37.

<sup>164</sup> Li Danke 2009:56.

<sup>165</sup> Schwarecz 1998:40-1.

past, it may symbolize the kinds of cultural mediations involving memory and forgetting which are used to communicate gender relations that create and maintain social cohesion.

This speculation becomes all the more credible when we consider one of the most important themes emerging in You Jianming's discussions with her interviewees, i.e. their longing for an end to the chaos of their wartime existence and a return to the personal stability of their prewar lives--as opposed to any expression of desiring political reform or legal recompense in the postwar aftermath. Such aspirations remind us of yet another commonality between these Chinese women and the European Jewish survivors, i.e. the centrality of the domestic sphere in the first stages of their encounters with the War. In many of the Chinese and European testimonies, we hear about the contrast between the everyday things men and women did before the conflict, and the behavior that the War made them assume. When food shortages occurred and living conditions worsened, it was the women who were supposed to "make things work" by coping with ever-shrinking resources for running their households, and raising their families' spirits. In 1930s Germany, as Nazi patriarchal ideology exalted German male domination and demasculinized Jewish men, it also spurred gender reversals in Jewish households. Jewish women took on new roles as breadwinners, family protectors, and defenders of businesses, medical practices, and found themselves representing their male relatives in schools, hospitals, offices, and so on.<sup>166</sup>

In wartime China, as forced evacuation and mass migration intensified, the social and moral authority of the traditional extended Chinese family deteriorated.<sup>167</sup> And yet, with the popularity of those modernizing discourses which had promoted birth control and the postponement of female marriage fading away, and the actualities of war denying Chinese men and women easy access to contraception, women found themselves ever more easily burdened with traditional responsibilities in housework and child-rearing. For example, the

---

<sup>166</sup> Kaplan 1998:59.

<sup>167</sup> Lü Fangshang 1995.

narrative of You Jianming's subject Zhang Wang Mingxin 張王銘心 relates her prewar ideals (celibacy) to the realities of her wartime and postwar life (married with several children).<sup>168</sup>

One of the problems with reading gender into the memories of both Chinese and European Jewish women is that their stories may seem banal or trivial, compared to accounts of dangerous military feats or major battles. When one is confronted with the unimaginable suffering caused by the Nazi regime or the Japanese Imperial Army, so the argument goes, discussions of the minutiae of day-to-day interaction between man and wife, or the struggle to find food, or the dislocation of consumer goods and services, seem to pale in comparison and rob the victims of the honor and dignity they deserve. But, as Ofer and Weitzman point out, the opposite is true. It is these details of everyday life—the portraits of women who saved a single ration of bread or a few grains of rice for her children—that restore individuality and humanity to the victims.<sup>169</sup>

Perhaps, the most obvious common factor in both these women's histories is their absence in any proper collective memory of WWII. In the case of European Jewish women, we may refer to the remarks of Saul Friedlander writing in 1992 that a 'master narrative' of the Holocaust was emerging. But it would seem that only until recently this was in fact a master (male) narrative, one that reflected the male voice, the male experience, the male memory as normative.<sup>170</sup> Moreover, as (male) scholars have attempted to tie the Holocaust to the heritage of the European Enlightenment,<sup>171</sup> they have not only excluded women from this indictment of modernity, but they also have denied women any historical agency in the

---

<sup>168</sup> You Jianming 2004:61-118.

<sup>169</sup> Ofer and Weitzman 1998:14.

<sup>170</sup> Horowitz 2000:159. This male experience dominates Claude Lanzmann's 1985 motion picture *Shoah* which features no women in the scenario, except as victims.

<sup>171</sup> Bauman 1989, following the work of Max Horkheimer and T. Adorno. Bauman argues that part of understanding the Holocaust involves focusing on how the Jews, who expanded their boundaries because of the possibilities that Enlightenment modernity and emancipation offered, were consequently rejected (and murdered) by the dominant European majority.

public realm.<sup>172</sup> In this scenario, modernity, or rationality, was born out of two separate male and female spheres where men assumed the responsibility of public decision making and action, and women the duty of human caring.

The master narrative for Chinese women is all the more difficult to formulate because of both contemporary political constraints, and to a certain extent, cultural conformities that inhibit efforts to reconcile living memory with history. On the other hand, there may never be a 'master narrative' of the Sino-Japanese War if we consider that this confrontation remains a general point of 'cultural disorientation' in China's path to modernity.<sup>173</sup> While the past decade may have opened some opportunities for both men and women to express their individual reminiscences of the War of Resistance, the majority of these people are aging rapidly and passing away, and the memoirs they might have written during the War or in the immediate aftermath were very likely destroyed in the Cultural Revolution. For Chinese women the postwar political agenda(s) that framed the representation of their wartime lives have added an extra obstacle in the retrieval of their personal stories and accounts. And thus, for the historian hoping to reclaim their narratives, he or she will have to overcome an array of distortions and disclaimers that contemporary ideology continues to propagate.

---

<sup>172</sup> Allen 1997.

<sup>173</sup> Mitter 2008:191.

## REFERENCES

- Allen, Ann Taylor. 1997. "The Holocaust and the Modernization of Gender: A Historiographical Essay," *Central European History* 30.3:349-64.
- Baer, Elizabeth R. and Myrna Goldenberg, eds. 2003. *Experience and Expression: Women, the Nazis, and the Holocaust* (Detroit: Wayne State University Press).
- Barlow, Tani. 2008. "Introduction: War Capital Trauma," *positions: East Asian cultures critique* 16.1:1-10.
- Barnouw, David and Gerrold van der Stroom. 1989. *Diary of Anne Frank: The Critical Edition* (A.J. Pomerans and B.M. Mooyaart, trans.) (New York: Doubleday).
- Barrett, David P. and Larry N. Shyu, eds. 2001. *Chinese Collaboration with Japan, 1932-1945: The Limits of Accommodation* (Stanford: Stanford University Press).
- Barrett, David P. 2001. "Introduction: Occupied China and the Limits of Accommodation," in Barrett and Shyu, eds., *Chinese Collaboration with Japan*, pp.1-17.
- Bauer, Yehuda. 2001. *Rethinking the Holocaust* (New Haven: Yale University Press).
- Bauman, Zygmunt. 1989. *Modernity and the Holocaust* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press).
- Baumel, Judith. 1996a. "Gender and Family Studies of the Holocaust: A Historiographical Overview," *Women: A Cultural Review* 7.2:114-24.
- , 1996b. "The Heroism of Hannah Senesz: An Exercise in Creating Collective National Memory in the State of Israel," *Journal of Contemporary History* 31:521-46.
- , 1998a. *Double Jeopardy: Gender and the Holocaust* (London: Vallentine Mitchell).
- , 1998b. "The 'Parachutists' Mission' from a Gender Perspective," in R. Rohrllich, ed., *Resisting the Holocaust*, pp.95-113.
- , 1999. "Women's Agency and Survival Strategies during the Holocaust," *Women's Studies International Forum* 22:329-47.
- , 2000. "'You Said the Words You Wanted Me to Hear But I Heard the Words You Couldn't Bring Yourself to Say': Women's First Person Accounts of the Holocaust," *Oral History Review* 27:17-56.
- Benton, Gregor. 1999. *New Fourth Army: Communist Resistance Along the Yangtze and the Huai, 1938-1941* (Surrey: Curzon).
- Berg, Mary. 1945. *Warsaw Ghetto* (New York: Fischer).

Bernard, Catherine A. 2000. "tell him that I: Women Writing the Holocaust," *Other Voices* (electronic journal [www.othervoices.org](http://www.othervoices.org) accessed 27-8-2008), 2.1:1-52.

-----, 2003. "Anne Frank: The Cultivation of the Inspirational Victim," in Baer and Goldenberg, eds., *Experience and Expression: Women and the Nazis, and the Holocaust* (Detroit: Wayne State University Press), pp.201-25.

Bock, Gisela. 1998. "Ordinary Women in Nazi Germany: Perpetrators, Victims, Followers, and Bystanders," in Ofer and Weitzman, eds. *Women in the Holocaust* (New Haven: Yale University Press), pp.85-100.

Borowski, Tadeusz. 1988. *This Way for the Gas Ladies and Gentlemen and Other Stories* (New York: Penguin).

Bos, Pascale R. 2003. "Women and the Holocaust: Analyzing Gender Difference," in Elizabeth R. Baer and Myrna Goldenberg, eds., *Experience and Expression: Women, the Nazis, and the Holocaust* (Detroit: Wayne State University Press), pp.23-50.

Bosworth, R.J.B. 1993. *Explaining Auschwitz and Hiroshima: History Writing and the Second World War 1945-1990* (London: Routledge).

Bovenkerk, Frank. 2000. "The Other Side of the Anne Frank Story: The Dutch Role in the Persecution of the Jews in World War Two," *Crime, Law and Social Change* 34:237-58.

Brenner, Hannalore, Woods, John (trans.), Frisch, Shelley (trans.). 2009. *The Girls of Room 28: Friendship, Hope, and Survival in Theresienstadt* (New York: Schocken).

Brenner, Rachel Feldhya. 1997. *Writing as Resistance: Four Women Confronting the Holocaust: Edith Stein, Simone Weil, Anne Frank, Ety Hillesum* (University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press).

-----, 2009. "'Ideologically Incorrect': Responses to the Holocaust by Three Israeli Women Writers," *CLCWeb: Comparative Literature and Culture* 11.1:2-11.

Bridenthal, R., Grossman, A, and Kaplan, M. eds. 1984. *When Biology Becomes Destiny: Women in Weimar and Nazi Germany* (New York: Monthly Review Press).

Browning, Christopher R. 2003. *Collected Memories: Holocaust History and Postwar Testimony* (Madison: University of Wisconsin Press).

Brook, Timothy. 2005. *Collaboration: Japanese Agents and Local Elites in Wartime China* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press).

Buruma, Ian. 1994. *The Wages of Guilt: Memories of War in Germany and Japan* (New York: Farrar Straus Giroux).

-----, 1998. "The Afterlife of Anne Frank," *New York Review of Books* 45.3:4-8.

Card, Claudia. 2000. "Women, Evil, and Gray Zones," *Metaphilosophy* 31:509-28.

Chang Jui-te. 2001. "The Politics of Commemoration: A Comparative Analysis of the Fiftieth-Anniversary Commemoration in Mainland China and Taiwan of the Victory in the Anti-Japanese War," in Lary and MacKinnon, eds., *Scars of War*, pp.136-60.

Chickering, Roger and Stig Förster. 2003. "Introduction," in Chickering and Förster, eds., *The Shadows of Total War: Europe, East Asia, and the United States, 1919-1939* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press), pp.1-19.

-----, 2005. "Are We There Yet? World War II and the Theory of Total War," in Chickering, Förster, and Bernd Greiner, eds., *A World at Total War: Global Conflict and the Politics of Destruction, 1937-1945* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press), pp.1-16.

Coble, Parks. 2003. *Chinese Capitalists in Japan's New Order: The Occupied Lower Yangtzi, 1937-1945* (Berkeley: University of California Press).

-----, 2007. "China's 'New Remembering' of the Anti-Japanese War of Resistance, 1937-1945," *The China Quarterly* 190:394-410.

Cole, Tim. 1999. *Images of the Holocaust: The Myth of the 'Shoah Business'* (London: Duckworth).

-----, 2006. "A Gendered Holocaust? The Experiences of 'Jewish' Men and Women in Hungary, 1944," in Randolph Braham, ed., *The Holocaust in Hungary: Sixty Years Later* (Boulder, CO: Rosenthal Institute for Holocaust Studies), pp.43-61.

Confino, Alon. 1997. "Collective Memory and Cultural History: Problems of Method," *The American Historical Review* 102.5:1386-1403.

-----, 2009. "Narrative Form and Historical Sensation: On Saul Friedländer's *The Years of Extermination*," *History and Theory* 48:199-219.

Cooke, Miriam and Angela Woollacott, eds. 1993. *Gendering War Talk* (Princeton: Princeton University Press).

Costa, Denise de. 1998. *Anne Frank and Etty Hillesum: Inscribing Spirituality and Sexuality* (New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press).

Cui Xianghua 崔向華, ed.. 1995. *Zhongguo nü jiang jun 中國女將軍* (Chinese women generals) (Beijing: Jiefangjun wenyi chubanshe).

Delbo, Charlotte. 1968. *None of Us Will Return* (New Haven: Yale University Press).

Denton, Kirk. 2007. "Horror and Atrocity: Memory of Japanese Imperialism in Chinese Museums," in Ching Kwan Lee and Guobin Yang, eds., *Re-envisioning the Chinese Revolution: The Politics and Poetics of Collective Memories in Reform China* (Stanford: Stanford University Press), pp.245-86.

Ding Weiping 丁微平. 2000. *Zhongguo funü kangzhan shi yanjiu, 1937-1945 中國婦女抗戰史研究* (A study of the history of Chinese women in China's War of Resistance against Japan) (Changchun: Jilin Renmin chubanshe).

Dobroszycki, Lucjan. ed. 1984. *The Chronicle of the Lodz Ghetto, 1941-1944* (New Haven: Yale University Press).

Doneson, Judith. 1987. "The American History of Anne Frank's Diary," *Holocaust and Genocide Studies* 2.1:149-60.

Dooling, Amy, ed.. 2004. *Writing Women in Modern China: The Revolutionary Years, 1936-1976* (New York: Columbia University Press).

Duara, Prasenjit. 2003. *Sovereignty and Authenticity: Manchukuo and the East Asian Modern* (Oxford: Rowman and Littlefield).

Eber, Irene. 2008. *Voices from Shanghai: Jewish Exiles in Wartime China* (Chicago and London: University of Chicago Press).

Ely, Richard and Allyssa McCabe. 2005. "Gender Differences in Memories for Speech," in Selma Leydesdorff, Luisa Passerini, and Paul Thompson, eds., *Gender and Memory* (New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Publishers), pp.17-30.

Enloe, Cynthia. 2000. *Maneuvers: The International Politics of Militarizing Women's Lives* (Berkeley: University of California Press).

Enzer, Hyman and Sandra Solotaroff-Enzer, eds. 2000., *Anne Frank: Reflections on Her Life and Legacy* (Urbana: University of Illinois Press).

Fass, Paula. 2009. *Inheriting the Holocaust: A Second-Generation Memoir* (New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press).

Felman, Shoshana, and Dori Laub. 1992. *Testimony: Crises of Witnessing in Literature, Psychoanalysis, and History* (New York and London: Routledge).

Friedlander, Saul. 1992a. "Trauma, Transference, and 'Working Through' in Writing the History of the Shoah," *History and Memory* 4.1:39-59.

-----, ed. 1992b. *Probing the Limits of Representation: Nazism and the 'Final Solution'* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press).

Fu Poshek. 1995. *Passivity, Resistance, and Collaboration: Intellectual Choices in Occupied Shanghai, 1937-45* (Stanford: Stanford University Press).

-----, 2001. "Resistance in Collaboration: Chinese Cinema in Occupied Shanghai, 1941-1945," in Barrett and Shyu, eds., *Chinese Collaboration with Japan*, pp.180-97.

Gilligan, Carole. 1982. *In a Different Voice: Psychological Theory and Women's Development* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press).

Glosser, Susan. 2004. "'Women's Culture of Resistance': An Ordinary Response to Extraordinary Circumstances," in Henriot and Yeh, eds., *In the Shadow of the Rising Sun*, pp.302-24.



- Gluck, Carol. 2007. "Operations of Memory: 'Comfort Women' and the World," in Jager and Mitter, eds., *Ruptured Histories*, pp. 47-77.
- Goldenberg, Myrna. 1996. "Review Essay - 'From a World Beyond': Women in the Holocaust," *Feminist Studies* 22.3:667-87.
- Goldhagen, Daniel J. 1997. *Hitler's Willing Executioners: Ordinary Germans and the Holocaust* (London: Abacus).
- Goldstein, Joshua S. 2001. *War and Gender: How Gender Shapes the War System and Vice Versa* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).
- Goodman, David. 1997. "The Licheng Rebellion of 1941: Class, Gender, and Leadership in the Sino-Japanese War," *Modern China* 23.2:216-45.
- , 2000. "Revolutionary Women and Women in the Revolution: The Chinese Communist Party and Women in the War of Resistance to Japan, 1937-1945," *China Quarterly* 164:915-42.
- Gordon, David M. 2006. "Historiographical Essay: The China-Japan War, 1931-45," *Journal of Military History* 70:137-82.
- Greenspan, Henry. 1998. *On Listening to Holocaust Survivors: Recounting and Life History* (Westport, CN: Praeger).
- Gries, Peter Hays. 2004. *China's New Nationalism: Pride, Politics, and Diplomacy* (Berkeley: University of California Press).
- Grossman, Atina. 2007. "Continuities and Ruptures: Sexuality in Twentieth-century Germany: Historiography and its Discontents," in Karen Hagemann and Jean H. Quartaert, eds., *Gendering Modern German History: Rewriting Historiography* (New York: Berghahn Books), pp.208-27.
- Gurewitsch, Brana., ed. 1998. *Mothers, Sisters, Resisters: Oral Histories of Women Who Survived the Holocaust* (Tuscaloosa: University of Alabama Press).
- Haan, Ido de. 1998. "The Construction of a National Trauma: The Memory of the Persecution of the Jews in the Netherlands," *Netherlands Journal of Social Sciences* 34.2:196-217.
- Hart, Kitty. 1961. *I Am Alive* (New York and London: Abelard Schuman).
- He Yinan. 2007. "Remembering and Forgetting the War: Elite Mythmaking, Mass Reaction, and Sino-Japanese Relations, 1950-2006," *History and Memory* 19.2:43-74.
- Heinemann, M.E. 1986. *Women Writers and the Holocaust* (Westport, CT: Greenwood).

Henriot, Christian and Wen-hsin Yeh, eds., 2004. *In the Shadow of the Rising Sun: Shanghai under Japanese Occupation* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).

Hershatter, Gail and Wang Zheng. 2008. "Chinese History: A Useful Category of Gender Analysis," *American Historical Review* 113.5:1404-21

Hicks, George. 1995. *The Comfort Women: Japan's Brutal Regime of Enforced Prostitution in the Second World War* (New York: Norton).

Higonnet, Margaret R. et. al. 1987. *Behind the Lines: Gender and the Two World Wars* (New Haven: Yale University Press).

Hirsch, Marianne. 1997. *Family Frames: Photography, Narrative, and Postmemory* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press).

-----, 2008. "The Generation of Postmemory," *Poetics Today* 29.1:103-28.

Horowitz, Sara. 1994. "Memory and Testimony in Women Survivors of Nazi Genocide," in Judith Baskin, ed., *Women of the Word: Jewish Women and Jewish Writing* (Detroit: Wayne State University Press), pp.258-82.

-----, 2000. "Gender, Genocide, and Jewish Memory," *Prooftexts* 20:158-90.

Huang, Nicole. 2004. "Fashioning Public Intellectuals: Women's Print Culture in Occupied Shanghai (1941-1945)," in Henriot and Yeh, eds., *In the Shadow of the Rising Sun*, pp.325-45.

-----, 2005. *Women, War, Domesticity: Shanghai Literature and Popular Culture of the 1940s* (Leiden: Brill Academic Publishers).

Hung, Chang-tai 1994. *War and Popular Culture: Resistance in Modern China, 1937-1945* (Berkeley: University of California Press).

-----, 2008. "The Cult of the Red Martyr: Politics of Commemoration in China," *Journal of Contemporary History* 43.2:279-304.

Hutton, Patrick. 1994. "Sigmund Freud and Maurice Halbwachs: The Problem of Memory in Historical Psychology," *The History Teacher* 27.2:145-58.

Ivy, Marilyn. 2008. "Trauma's Two Times: Japanese Wars and Postwars," *positions: East Asian cultures critique* 16.1:165-88.

Jacobs, Janet. 2008. "Gender and Collective Memory: Women and Representation at Auschwitz," *Memory Studies* 1.2:211-25.

Jager, Sheila Miyoshi and Rana Mitter, eds. 2007. *Ruptured Histories: War, Memory, and the Post-Cold War in Asia* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press).

Judge, Joan. 2008. *The Precious Raft of History: The Past, the West, and the Woman Question in China* (Stanford: Stanford University Press).

Kahane, Claire. 2001. "Dark Mirrors: A Feminist Reflection on Holocaust Narrative and the Maternal Metaphor," in Elisabeth Bronfen and Misha Kavka, eds., *Feminist Consequences: Theory for the New Century* (New York: Columbia University Press), pp.161-88.

Kaplan, Marian. 1998. *Between Dignity and Despair: Jewish Life in Nazi Germany* (Oxford: Oxford University Press).

Karmel, Ilona. 1969. *An Estate of Memory* (Boston: Houghton Mifflin).

Kassow, Samuel D. 2007. *Who Will Write Our History?* (London: Penguin Books)

Katz, Esther and Joan Ringelheim, eds. 1983. *Proceedings of the Conference on Women Surviving the Holocaust* (New York: Institute for Research in History).

Kingston, Maxine Hong. 1976. *The Woman Warrior: Memoirs of a Girlhood among Ghosts* (New York: Knopf).

Klein, Kerwin Lee. 2000. "On the Emergency of *Memory* in Historical Discourse," *Representations* 89:127-50.

Koonz, Claudia. 1987. *Mothers in the Fatherland: Women, the Family and Nazi Politics* (New York: St.Martin's Press).

-----, 2007. "A Tributary and a Mainstream: Gender, Public Memory, and Historiography of Nazi Germany," in Karen Hagemann and Jean H. Quataert, eds., *Gendering Modern German History: Rewriting Historiography* (New York: Berghahn Books), pp. 147-68.

LaCapra, Dominick. 1994. *Representing the Holocaust: History, Theory, Trauma* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press).

-----, 1998. *History and Memory After Auschwitz* (Ithaca: Cornell University Press).

Lan Feng. 2003. "The Female Individual and the Empire: A Historicist Approach to Mulan and Kingston's Woman Warrior," *Comparative Literature* 55.3: 229-45.

Langer, Lawrence. 1998. "Gendered Suffering? Women in Holocaust Testimonies," in Ofer and Weitzman, eds., *Women in the Holocaust* (New Haven: Yale University Press), pp.351-63.

Lary, Diana. 2007. "Introduction," in Lary, Stephen MacKinnon, and Ezra Vogel, eds., *China at War: Regions of China, 1937-1945* (Stanford: Stanford University Press), pp.1-14.

Lary, Diana and Stephen MacKinnon, eds. 2001. *Scars of War: The Impact of Warfare on Modern China* (Vancouver: UBC Press).

Laska, Vera, ed. 1983. *Women in the Resistance and in the Holocaust: Voices of Eyewitnesses* (Westport, CT: Greenwood).

Laub, Dori. 2009. "On Holocaust Testimony and Its 'Reception' within Its Own Frame, as a Process in Its Own Right: A Response to 'Between History and Psychoanalysis' by Thomas Trezise," *History and Memory* 21.1:127-50.

Lee, Lily Xiao Hung and Sue Wiles. 1999. *Women of the Long March* (St. Leonards, NSW: Allen and Unwin).

Lentin, Ronit. 1996. "A *Yiddishe mame* Desperately Seeking a *mame-loshn*: the Feminization of Stigma in the Relations between Israelis and Holocaust Survivors," *Women's Studies International Forum* 19:87-97.

-----, 1997. "Introduction: (En)gendering Genocides," in Lentin, ed., *Gender and Catastrophe* (London: Zed Books), pp.2-17.

-----, 2000a. "Expected to Live: Women Shoah Survivors' Testimonials of Silence," *Women Studies International Forum* 23.6:689-700.

-----, 2000b. *Israel and the Daughters of the Shoah: Reoccupying the Territories of Silence* (New York and Oxford: Berghahn Books).

-----, 2006. "*Femina sacra*: Gendered Memory and Political Violence," *Women's Studies International Forum* 29:463-73.

Levenson, Joseph. 1968. *Confucian China and Its Modern Fate*. 3 vols. (Berkeley: University of California Press).

Levi, Primo. 1961. *Survival in Auschwitz* (trans. Stuart Woolf) (New York: MacMillan Publishing).

-----, 1989. *The Drowned and the Saved* (New York: Vintage).

Leydesdorff, Selma, Luisa Passerini and Paul Thompson. 2005. "Introduction," in Leydesdorff, Passerini, Thompson, eds., *Gender and Memory* (New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Books), pp.1-16.

Li Danke. 2009. "The Women's Movement in the Chongqing Region during China's War of Resistance against Japan, 1938-1945," *The Chinese Historical Review*. 16.1:27-59.

-----, 2010. *Echoes of Chongqing: Women in Wartime China* (Urbana: University of Illinois Press).

Li Wai-yee. 1999. "Heroic Transformations: Women and National Trauma in Early Qing Literature," *Harvard Journal of Asiatic Studies* 59.2:363-443.

Li Xiaojiang 李小江. 2003. *Rang nü renziji shuohua. Qinli zhanzheng* 讓女人自己說話. 親歷戰征 (Let women themselves talk: war experiences) (Beijing: Shenghuo, dushu, xinzhishi shidian).

Lixl-Purcell, Andreas. 1994. "Memoirs as History," *Leo Baeck Yearbook* 39:227-38.

Loftus, Elizabeth et. al. 1987. "Who Remembers What: Gender Differences in Memory," *Michigan Quarterly Review* 26.1:64-85.

Luo Jiurong 羅久蓉. 2003. "Zhanzheng yu funü: cong Li Qingping hanjian kan kangzhan qianhou de xing guanxi" 戰爭與婦女：從李青萍漢奸看抗戰前後的兩性關係 (Resistance and women: Looking at the traitor Li Qingping during and after the War from a gender perspective), in Lü Fangshang 呂芳上, ed., *Jindai Zhongguo de funü yu guojia* 近代中國的婦女與國家 (Taipei: Academia Sinica, Institute of Modern History), pp. 129-64.

Lü Fangshang. 呂芳上 1981. "Kangzhan shiqi Zhongguo de fuyun gongzuo" 抗戰時期中國的婦運工作 (The work of the Chinese women's movement during the War of Resistance), in Li Youning 李又寧 and Zhang Yufa 張玉法, eds., *Zhongguo funü shi lun wenji* 中國婦女史論文集 (Collection of Chinese women's historical discussions) (Taipei: Taiwan shangwu yinshuguan), vol. 1, pp. 378-412.

----- 1995. "Lingyizhong weizuzhi kangzhan shiqi de hunyin yu jiating wenti" 另一種偽組織抗戰時期的婚姻與家庭問題初探 (Another 'fake organization': Family and marriage problems during the Sino-Japanese War), *Jindai Zhongguo funüshi yanjiu* 近代中國婦女史研究, 3:97-121.

Maier, Charles. S. 1988. *The Unmasterable Past: History, Holocaust, and German National Identity* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press).

Mann, Susan. 2000. "Presidential Address: Myths of Asian Womanhood," *The Journal of Asian Studies* 59.4:835-62.

Marrus, Michael. 1994. "Reflections on the Historiography of the Holocaust," *Journal of Modern History* 66:92-116.

Melnick, Ralph. 1997. *The Stolen Legacy of Anne Frank: Meyer Levin, Lillian Hellman, and the Staging of the 'Diary'* (New Haven: Yale University Press).

Milton, Sybil. 1984. "Women and the Holocaust: The Case of German and German-Jewish Women," in Bridenthal, R et.al., *When Biology Became Destiny* (New York: Monthly Review Press), pp.297-333.

Mitter, Rana. 2000. *The Manchurian Myth: Nationalism, Resistance, and Collaboration in Modern China* (Berkeley: University of California Press).

----- 2003. "Old Ghosts, New Memories: China's Changing War History in the Era of Post-Mao Politics," *Journal of Contemporary History* 38:117-31.

----- 2005a. "A Slow Remembering: China's Memory of the War Against Japan," *International Institute for Asian Studies Newsletter* 38:14.

-----, 2005b. "Historiographical Review: Modernity, Internationalization, and War in the History of Modern China," *The Historical Journal* 48:523-43.

-----, 2008. "Writing War: Autobiography, Modernity and Wartime Narrative in Nationalist China, 1937-1946," *Transactions of the Royal Historical Society* 18:187-210.

Moi, Toril. 1985. *Sexual/Textual Politics: Feminist Literary Theory* (London: Routledge).

Moore, Aaron. 2009. "Talk about Heroes: Expressions of Self-Mobilization and Despair in Chinese War Diaries, 1911-1938," *Twentieth Century China* 34.2:30-54.

Moore, Bob. 1997. *Victims and Survivors: The Nazi Persecution of the Jews in the Netherlands 1940-1945* (London: Arnold).

Neiberger, Ami. 1998. "An Uncommon Bond of Friendship: Family and Survival in Auschwitz," in Rohrlich, ed., *Resisting the Holocaust*, pp.133-49.

Ni Aolain, Fionnuala. 2000. "Sex-based Violence and the Holocaust—A Reevaluation of Harms and Rights in International Law," *Yale Journal of Law and Feminism* 12:43-84.

Niederland, William. 1968. "Clinical Observations on the 'Survival Syndrome'," *International Journal of Psychoanalysis* 49:313-15.

Novick, Peter. 1999. *The Holocaust in American Life* (Boston: Houghton Mifflin).

Ofer, Dalia and Leonore Weitzman, eds.. 1998. "Introduction: The Role of Gender in the Holocaust," in *idem.*, *Women in the Holocaust* (New Haven: Yale University Press), pp.1-18.

Ofer, Dalia. 1998. "Gender Issues in Diaries and Testimonies of the Ghetto: The Case of Warsaw," in Ofer and Weitzman, eds., *Women in the Holocaust*, pp. 143-67.

Ono Kazuko. 1989. *Chinese Women in a Century of Revolution, 1850-1950* (trans. By Kathryn Bernhardt, et. al.) (Stanford: Stanford University Press).

Owen, Stephen. 1986. *Remembrances: The Experience of the Past in Classical Chinese Literature* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press).

Pan Yihong. 1997. "Feminism and Nationalism in China's War of Resistance against Japan," *The International History Review* 19.1:115-30.

-----, 2009. "Their 'Quiet' Devotion: Communist Women in the War of Resistance against Japan (1937-1945)," *The Chinese Historical Review* 16.1:1-26.

Phui Yee. 2007. *My Long March: Eleven Women's Quest for Freedom* (Singapore: Marshall Cavendish Editions).

Popkin, Jeremy. 2003. "Holocaust Memories, Historians' Memoirs: First-Person Narrative and the Memory of Holocaust," *History and Memory* 15.1:49-84.

Rigney, Ann. 1990. *The Rhetoric of Historical Representation: Three Narrative Histories of the French Revolution* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).

-----, 1992. "The Point of Stories: On Narrative Communication and Its Cognitive Functions," *Poetics Today* 13.2:263-83.

Ringelblum, Emmanuel. 1958. *Notes from the Warsaw Ghetto: The Journal of Emmanuel Ringelblum* (Jacob Sloan, trans.) (New York: McGraw Hill; original: *Notitsen fun Varshever geto* [Warsaw: Yiddish Bukh, 1952]).

Ringelheim, Joan. 1984. "The Unethical and the Unspeakable: Women and the Holocaust," *Simon Wiesenthal Center Annual* 1:69-87.

-----, 1985. "Women and the Holocaust: A Reconsideration of Research," *Signs* 10.4:741-61.

-----, 1993. "Women and the Holocaust: A Reconsideration of Research [revised]," in Carol Rittner and John K. Roth, eds., *Women and the Holocaust: Different Voices* (New York: St. Martin's Press), pp.374-408.

-----, 1997. "Genocide and Gender: A Split Memory," in Ronit Lentin, ed., *Gender and Catastrophe* (London and New York: Zed Books), pp.18-33.

-----, 1998. "The Split Between Gender and the Holocaust," in Dalia Ofer and Lenore Weitzman, eds., *Women and the Holocaust* (New Haven: Yale University Press), pp.340-50.

Rittner, Carol, ed. 1998. *Anne Frank in the World: Essays and Reflections* (New York: M.E. Sharp).

Rittner, Carol and John K. Roth, eds. 1993. *Different Voices: Women and the Holocaust* (New York: Paragon).

Rohrlich, Ruby, ed. 1998. *Resisting the Holocaust* (Oxford: Berg).

Rosenfeld, A. H. 1980. *A Double Dying: Reflections on Holocaust Literature* (Bloomington: Indiana University Press).

Rosenfeld, Gavriel D. 2009. "A Looming Crash or a Soft Landing? Forecasting the Future of the Memory 'Industry'," *The Journal of Modern History* 81:122-58.

Roth, Michael S. 1998. "Trauma, Representation, and Historical Consciousness," *Common Knowledge* 7.2:99-111.

Rothberg, Michael. 2000. *Traumatic Realism: The Demands of Holocaust Representation* (Minneapolis: University of Minneapolis Press).

Rousso, Henry. 1987. *Le syndrome de Vichy: 1944-198-* (Paris: Seuil).

Sand, Jordan. 1999. "Introduction," *History and Memory* 11.1:117-26.

Schiffrin, Deborah. 2002. "Mother and Friends in a Holocaust Life Story," *Language in Society* 31:309-53.

Schoenfeld, Gabriel. 1998. "Auschwitz and the Professors," *Commentary* 105.6:42-6.

Schwarcz, Vera. 1992. *Time for Telling Truth is Running Out: Conversations with Zhang Shenfu* (New Haven: Yale University Press).

----- . 1998. *Bridge Across Broken Time: Chinese and Jewish Cultural Memory* (New Haven: Yale University Press).

----- . 2008. "Truthfulness at Dawn, Truthfulness at Night: Reflections on a Common Striving in Chinese and Jewish Traditions," *Journal of World History* 19.4:403-30.

Scott, Joan. 1991. "The Evidence of Experience," *Critical Inquiry* 17:773-97.

Seaton, Philip. 2007. *Japan's Contested War Memories: The 'Memory Rifts' in Historical Consciousness of World War II* (London: Routledge).

Seraphim, Franziska. 2006. *War Memory and Social Politics in Japan, 1945-2005* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Asia Center).

----- . 2007. "Relocating War Memory at Century's End: Japan's War Postwar Responsibility and Global Public Culture," in Jager and Mitter, eds., *Ruptured Histories*, pp. 15-46.

Smith, Norman. 2004. "Disrupting Narratives: Chinese Women Writers and the Japanese Cultural Agenda in Manchuria, 1936-45," *Modern China* 30:295-325.

----- . 2007. *Resisting Manchukuo: Chinese Women Writers and the Japanese Occupation* (Vancouver: University of British Columbia Press).

Spakowski, Nicola. 2005. "Women's Military Participation in the Communist Movement in the 1930s and 1940s: Patterns of Inclusion and Exclusion," in Mechthild Leutner and Nicola Spakowski, eds., *Women in China: The Republican Period in Historical Perspective* (Münster: Lit Verlag), pp.129-71.

Stetz, Margaret and Bonnie B.C. Oh. 2001. *Legacies of the Comfort Women of World War II* (Armonk: M.E. Sharpe).

Struve, Lynn. 2004. "A Brief Introduction," *History and Memory* 16.2:5-13.

Su Zhiliang 蘇智良. 2000. *Rijun xing nuli: Zhongguo 'wei an fu' zhenxiang* 日軍性奴隸: 中國慰安婦真相 (Japanese army's sexual slavery: the facts about Chinese 'comfort women') (Beijing: Renmin chubanshe).

Summerfield, Penny. 1998. *Reconstructing Women's Wartime Lives: Discourse and Subjectivity in Oral Histories of the Second World War* (Manchester: Manchester University Press).



Surin, Kenneth. 2008. "Conceptualizing Trauma, but What about Asia?" *positions: East Asian cultures critique* 16.1:15-37.

Suzuki Shogo. 2007. "The Importance of 'Othering' in China's National Identity: Sino-Japanese Relations as a Stage of Identity Conflicts," *The Pacific Review* 20.1:23-47.

Tanaka Yuki. 2002. *Japan's Comfort Women: Sexual Slavery and Prostitution during World War II and the US Occupation* (New York and London: Routledge).

Tec, Nechama. 2003. *Resilience and Courage: Women, Men, and the Holocaust* (New Haven: Yale University Press).

Treize, Thomas. 2008. "Between History and Psychoanalysis: A Case Study in the Reception of Holocaust Survival Testimony," *History and Memory* 20.1:7-47.

Ueno Chizuko. 1999. "The Politics of Memory: Nation, Individual and Self," *History and Memory* 11.1:129-52.

Waldijk, Berteke. 1993. "Reading Anne Frank as a Woman," *Women's Studies International Forum* 16.4:327-35.

Waldron, Arthur. 1996. "China's New Remembering of World War II: The Case of Zhang Zhidong," *Modern Asian Studies* 30.4:945-79.

Wang Jing. 2008. *When "I" was Born: Women's Autobiography in Modern China* (Madison: The University of Wisconsin Press).

Wang Lingzhen. 2004. *Personal Matters: Women's Autobiographical Practice in Twentieth-Century China* (Stanford: Stanford University Press).

Wang Zijin 王子今. 1998. *Zhongguo nü zi cong junshi* 中國女子從軍史 (Chinese women and children in military history) (Beijing: Junshi yiwen chubanshe).

Wasserstein, Bernard. 2007. *Barbarism and Civilization: A History of Europe in Our Time* (Oxford: Oxford University Press).

Waxman, Zoe. 2006. *Writing the Holocaust: Identity, Testimony, Representation* (Oxford: Oxford University Press).

Wertsch, James. 2002. *Voices of Collective Remembering* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).

White, Hayden. 1973. *Metahistory: The Historical Imagination in Nineteenth Century Europe* (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press).

Wilkinson, James. 1996. "A Choice of Fictions: Historians, Memory, and Evidence," *Publications of the Modern Language Association* 111.1:80-92.

Wolf, Diane. 2007. *Beyond Anne Frank: Hidden Children and Postwar Families in Holland* (Berkeley: University of California Press).

Wu Pei-yi. 2002. "Yang Miaozen: A Woman Warrior in Thirteenth Century China," *Nan Nü: Men, Women and Gender in Early and Imperial China* 4.2:137-69.

Xie Bingying. 2001. *A Woman Soldier's Own Story: The Autobiography of Xie Bingying* (trans. Lily Chia Brissman and Barry Brissman) (New York: Berkley Books).

Yang, Hyunah. 2008. "Finding the 'Map of Memory': Testimony of the Japanese Military Sexual Slavery Survivors," *positions: East Asian cultures critique* 16.1:79-107.

Yoshimi Yoshiaki 吉見義明. 1992. *Jūgun ianfu shiryōshū* 從軍慰安婦 資料集 (Collection of materials on 'comfort women') (Tokyo: Ōtsuki shoten).

You Jianming (Yu Chien-ming) 游鑑明. 2002. *Qingting tamen de shengyin: Nüxing koushu lishi de fangfa yu koushu shiliao de yunyong* 傾聽她們的聲音女性口述歷史的方法與口述史料的運用 (Listening to voices: The use and method of female oral history) (Taipei: Zuoan wenhua shiyeyou xiangong xi).

You Jianming (Yu Chien-ming), Luo Jiurong 羅久蓉, Qu Haiyuan 瞿海源, comps. 2004. *Fenghuo suiyuexia de Zhongguo funü fangwen jilu* 烽火歲月下的中國婦女訪問紀錄 (Twentieth century wartime experiences of Chinese women: An oral history) (Taipei: Zhongyang yanjiuyuan jindaishi yanjiu suo).

Young, Helen Praeger. 2001. *Choosing Revolution: Chinese Women Soldiers on the Long March* (Urbana: University of Illinois Press).

-----, 2005. "Threads from Long March Stories: The Political, Economic and Social Experience of Women Soldiers," in Mechthild Leutner and Nicola Spakowski, eds., *Women in China: The Republican Period in Historical Perspective* (Münster: Lit Verlag), pp. 172-93.

Young, James E. 1993. *The Texture of Memory: Holocaust Memorials and Meaning* (New Haven: Yale University Press).

-----, 1997. "Toward a Received History of the Holocaust," *History and Theory* 36.4:21-43.

-----, ed. 2000 *At Memory's Edge: After-images of the Holocaust in Contemporary Art and Architecture* (New Haven: Yale University Press).

-----, 2009. "Regarding the Pain of Women: Questions of Gender and the Arts of Holocaust Memory," *Proceedings of the Modern Language Association* 124.5:1778-86.

Zhang Yibo 張懌伯. 1999 edition. *Zhenjiang lunxian ji* 鎮江淪陷記 (A record of Zhenjiang under occupation) (Beijing: Renmin chubanshe; original 1938).

Zhao Suisheng. 2004. *A Nation-State by Construction: Dynamics of Modern Chinese Narratives* (Stanford: Stanford University Press).